

POWER OF DATA FACILITATION GUIDE



Lori Rubino-Hare

Emily Evans

Mark Manone

Anita Palmer

James C. Sample

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1 i

Acknowledgements

POD Research Team: Nena Bloom, Brooke Whitworth, Eric Nolan, Megan Walker, Kayla Arendt and Tia Raught

The POD Team would like to thank our advisors and evaluator for their contributions: Tom Baker, Esri; Christine Cunningham, Engineering is Elementary; Kirsten Daehler, Making Sense of Science; Ken Yanow, GeoTech Center, Joan Pasley and Jennifer Torsiglieri Sprague, Horizon Research, Inc.

We greatly appreciate the POD Facilitators for their feedback to improve this guide: Ina Ahern, Janey Camp, Chris Campbell, Melanie Davis, Shireen Desouza, Roger Felch, Bruce Fink, Donna Genzmer, Angela Hasan, Carla Hester-Croff, Amanda Huron, Sylvia Leggette, Kate Madison, Melissa McGehee, Robert McGehee, Tina McMullin, Audrey Mohan, Bryan Nichols, Christine Nichols, Alexander Northrup, Tama Nunnelley, Katrina Patton, Eric Proctor, Eva Reid, Peter Stetson, Kevin Stilwell, Lisa Winger and Robert Woolner.



Thank you to National Science Foundation's Division of Research on Learning, Innovative Technology Experiences for Students and Teachers (#1513287) for funding this Successful Project Expansion and Dissemination research. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Power of Data Facilitation Guide	i
Introduction to Power of Data	xiii
Goals for Facilitators of POD Teacher Workshops	xv
Goals for Teachers in POD Teacher Workshops	xv
What you will experience	xvi
What should you expect?	xvii
What is Geospatial Inquiry?	xviii
Design Principles for POD Teacher Workshops	xx
Facilitation Model	xxvi
References	xxviii
How to Use This Guide.....	xxx
Summary	xxx
Goals	xxx
POD Principles Emphasized	xxx
Outline	xxx
Preparation and Logistics.....	xxx
Facilitation Notes	xxx
Facilitation Tips	xxx
Legend to guide symbols	xxx
Teacher Guide.....	xxx
Overview of a POD Teacher Workshop	xxx
Agenda for 35 Hour POD Teacher Workshop	xxx
Overview of the Geospatial Inquiry: Hazards and Risk.....	xxx
Overview of Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry	xxx
Overview of Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry.....	xl
Overview of Designing Geospatial Inquiry.....	xli
Overview of Career Spotlight.....	xlii
Two Weeks Prior to Teaching a POD Teacher Workshop	xliii
Technology Checklist	xliii
Workshop Materials Checklist	xlvi
Charts	xlvi
ArcGIS Online Task Cards	xlvi
Task #1: Log-in and Explore the Interface of ArcGIS online	xlvi
Task #2: Create Map Note Layer and Save the Layer with the ORG.....	xlvi
Task #3 Add a Data Layer.....	xlvi
Task #4: Analyze Areas of Concentration (Density)	xlix
Task #5: Table Functions, Symbolizing Data and Thematic Mapping.....	l
Task #6: Find Nearest and Find Average Distance	li
Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1	
iii	

Task #7 Create a Presentation	liv
Geospatial Inquiry	lv
Goals for Facilitators of POD Teacher Workshops	lvi
Goals for Teachers in POD Teacher Workshops	lvi
Session 1 Facilitation Notes for POD Teacher Workshop	2
Session 1 at a Glance – 7 hours	2
1 Welcome and Introduction to Power of Data Teacher Workshops	4
Summary	4
Goals	5
POD Principles Emphasized	5
Outline (90 minutes)	5
Preparation and Logistics	6
Your participants will need:	6
As the facilitator you will need:	6
Facilitation Notes	7
1. Welcome, goals, structure of the workshop. (10 minutes)	7
2. Process the learning so far (5 minutes)	9
3. Get to know you (35 minutes)	10
4. Working agreements (10 minutes)	11
5. What is Geospatial Inquiry? (15 minutes)	11
6. Participant Expectations (15 minutes).....	13
1 Administer GST Pre Workshop Performance Assessment (30 minutes)	14
1 Geospatial Inquiry – Session 1a	16
Summary	16
Goals (by the end of 1B)	17
POD Principles Emphasized	17
Outline (165 minutes)	18
Preparation and Logistics	18
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	18
As the <u>facilitator</u> you will need:	19
Facilitation Notes	20
1. Adult Learner Lens (5 minutes).....	20
2. Role Cards (5 minutes).....	20
3. Anchoring Video (15 minutes)	21
5. Terminology (20 minutes).....	22
6. Formative Assessment (10 minutes)	23
7. Explore ArcGIS Online & Map Notes (30 minutes)	24
8. Examine Geospatial Data (30 minutes).....	27
9. Introduce the Geospatial Analysis Framework (5 minutes)	29
10. Ask Questions (10 minutes).....	31

1 Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry - Introduction to Academically Productive Talk	32
Summary	32
Goals	32
POD Principles Emphasized	32
Outline (35 minutes)	33
Preparation and Logistics	33
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	33
Facilitation Notes	34
1. Read excerpt from High School Students Say Student Led Discussions and Group Work Often Go Awry (5 minutes).....	34
2. Introduce 21 st century skills as aligned with Geospatial Inquiry (5 minutes)	34
3. Consider Geospatial Inquiry phases in multiple disciplines (5 minutes)	35
4. Read about academically productive talk, react, share (20 minutes)	37
1 Geospatial Inquiry – Session 1B	40
Summary	40
Goals	40
POD Principles Emphasized	40
Outline (110 minutes)	41
Preparation and Logistics	41
As the facilitator you will need:	41
Facilitation Notes	42
1. Acquire and Analyze Additional Data (part 1) (30 minutes)	42
2. Argue from Evidence(60 minutes)	43
3. Summary Table (20 minutes).....	44
1 Metacognition, Evaluation, Homework	46
Summary	46
Goals	46
POD Principles Emphasized	46
Outline (20 minutes)	47
Preparation and logistics	47
As the facilitator you will need:	47
Facilitation Notes	47
1. What is metacognition? (5 minutes)	47
2. Individual work time (10 minutes).....	48
3. Homework, informal evaluation, preparation for next session (5 minutes)	49
Session 2 Facilitation Notes for POD Teacher Workshop	50
Session 2 at a Glance – 7 hours	50
2 Welcome – Session 2	52
Summary	52
Goals	52

POD Principles Emphasized	53
Outline (15 minutes).....	53
Preparation and Logistics.....	53
Facilitation Notes	54
1. Review feedback received from Session 1.	54
2. Ask and answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings and/or Homework.	54
3. Introduce the Session 2 Agenda and Foci	54
2 Geospatial Inquiry – Session 2.....	56
Summary	56
POD Principles Emphasized.....	57
Outline (250 minutes).....	57
Preparation and Logistics.....	58
Facilitation Notes	58
1. Argue from evidence; Acquire and analyze new data (70 minutes).....	58
2. Symbolize and Display Patterns & Relationships; Analyze and Interpret Patterns in Data; Prepare a Presentation (110 minutes)	59
3. Peer Feedback (50 minutes)	61
4. Sample Summary Table (20 minutes).....	63
2 Designing a Geospatial Inquiry.....	66
Summary	66
Goals	66
POD Principles Emphasized.....	66
Outline (75 minutes)	67
Preparation and Logistics.....	67
As the <u>facilitator</u> , you will need:	67
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	67
Facilitation Notes	67
1. Brainstorm ways Geospatial Inquiry can enhance existing lessons (30 minutes)	67
2. Sharing with the whole group gallery walk (15 minutes)	69
2. Introduce the Geospatial Inquiry Template (15 minutes)	70
3. Begin with the end in mind (30 minutes)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2 Career Spotlight.....	74
Summary	74
Outline (40 minutes)	75
Preparation and Logistics.....	75
Facilitation Notes	76
1. Introduce goals of Career Spotlight session and show the video (15 minutes)	76
2. Small group reaction to video (10 minutes)	76
3. Whole group share out (15 minutes).....	76
2 Metacognition, Evaluation and Homework	78

Summary	78
Goals	78
POD Principles Emphasized.....	78
Outline (40 minutes)	79
Preparation and Logistics.....	79
Facilitation Notes	79
Homework	80
Facilitator Homework	81
Session 3 Facilitation Notes for POD Teacher Workshop.....	1
Session 3 at a Glance – 7 hours	1
3 Welcome – Session 3	3
Summary	3
Goals	3
Outline (15 minutes)	4
Preparation and Logistics.....	4
Facilitation Notes	5
1. Review feedback received from Session 2.	5
2. Ask and answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings.	5
3. Introduce the Session 3 Agenda and Focus.	5
Summary	7
Goals	7
POD Principles Emphasized.....	8
Outline (185 minutes).....	8
Preparation and Logistics.....	8
Facilitation Notes	9
1. Summarize and Review (10 minutes)	9
2. Determine Seismic Risk (for one city) (40 minutes).....	10
3. Jigsaw – Share Findings (30 minutes)	13
4. Present an Argument (highest risk city) (45 minutes)	13
5. Peer Feedback (30 minutes)	13
6. Summary Table (15 minutes).....	15
Outline (60 minutes)	19
As the <u>facilitator</u> you will need:	20
Your participants will need:	20
Facilitation Notes	21
1. Introduce the teacher interviews (5 minutes).....	21
2. Read and identify characteristics and contexts OR benefits and barriers (15 minutes)	22
3. Work with other A’s and B’s to identify trends in the interviews (15 minutes)	22
4. Partner up to share and whole group summary (20 minutes)	22
5. Individual reflection (5 minutes).....	22
6. Sample Charts	23
3 Career Spotlight.....	26

Summary	26
Outline (30 minutes)	27
Preparation and Logistics.....	27
Facilitation Notes	27
1. Introduce the reading and allow participants time to read (15 minutes)	27
2. Small group sharing (10 minutes)	27
3. Whole group share out (5 minutes).....	28
3 Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	30
Summary	30
Goals	30
POD Principles Emphasized.....	31
Outline (60 minutes)	32
Preparation and Logistics.....	32
As the <u>facilitator</u> , you will need:	32
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	32
Facilitation Notes	33
1. Introduce critical junctures, formative feedback, and criteria for quality evidence of learning (10 minutes).....	33
2. Individual work time (50 minutes).....	35
3 Pedagogical Moves to Promote Geospatial Inquiry – <u>How to Support Academically</u>	
<u>Productive Talk</u>	36
Summary	36
Goals	36
POD Principles Emphasized.....	36
Outline (40 minutes)	37
Preparation and Logistics.....	37
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	37
As the <u>facilitator</u> you will need:	37
Facilitation Notes	38
1. Review homework – Promoting a culture of academically productive talk (5 minutes)	38
2. Four goals of academically productive talk, four corners (10 minutes)	38
3. Introduction to Talk Moves (5 minutes)	39
4. Talk Moves in Action (20 minutes)	39
3 Metacognition, Evaluation, and Homework	42
Summary	42
Goals	42
POD Principles Emphasized.....	42
Outline (30 minutes)	43
Preparation and Logistics.....	43
Facilitation Notes	44
Homework	44
Facilitator Homework	47

Session 4 Facilitation Notes for POD Teacher Workshop.....	1
Session 4 at a Glance – 7 hours	1
4 Welcome – Session 4	3
Summary	3
Goals	3
POD Principles Emphasized.....	3
Outline (15 minutes)	4
Preparation and Logistics.....	4
Facilitation Notes	5
1. Review feedback received from Session 3.	5
2. Answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings and Homework. .	5
3. Introduce the Session 4 Agenda and Focus.....	5
4 Geospatial Inquiry – Session 4.....	7
Summary	7
Goals	7
POD Principles Emphasized.....	7
Outline (190 minutes).....	8
Preparation and Logistics.....	8
Facilitation Notes	9
1. Introduction and Process (10 minutes)	9
2. Small group work time (50 minutes)	13
3. Argue from Evidence (30 minutes)	13
4. Prepare a Presentation (45 minutes).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5. Peer Presentation & Feedback (45 minutes).....	14
6. Debrief (10 min).....	14
4 Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	15
Summary	15
Goals	15
POD Principles Emphasized.....	15
Outline (70 minutes)	16
Preparation and Logistics.....	16
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	16
As the <u>facilitator</u> you will need:	16
Facilitation Notes	17
1. Consider the difference between assessment and grading (10 minutes)	17
2. Providing Valuable Feedback (15 minutes)	17
3. Divide readings on assessment (30 minutes)	17
4. Whole group sharing and application to Geospatial Inquiry lessons (15 minutes).....	17
4 Career Spotlight.....	20
Summary	20
Outline (30 minutes)	21

Preparation and Logistics.....	21
Facilitation Notes	22
1. Watch the videos (25 minutes).....	22
2. Whole group share out (10 minutes).....	22
4 Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry – Scaffolding	24
Summary	24
Goals	24
POD Principles Emphasized.....	24
Outline (45 minutes)	24
Preparation and Logistics.....	25
Facilitation Notes	26
1. Individually review and note scaffolding in POD Teacher Guide (10 minutes)	26
2. Discuss ideas at posters. (15 minutes).....	26
3. Visit other posters. (15 minutes)	27
4. Whole group share out (10 minutes).....	27
4 Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	30
Summary	30
Goals	30
POD Principles Emphasized.....	30
Outline (30 minutes)	31
Preparation and Logistics.....	31
As the <u>facilitator</u> , you will need:	31
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	31
Facilitation Notes	32
1. Reminder of assessment, scaffolds, directions (5 minutes)	32
2. Individual work on Geospatial Inquiry lessons (25 minutes).....	32
4 Metacognition, Evaluation, and Homework	34
Summary	34
Goals	34
POD Principles Emphasized.....	34
Outline (10 minutes)	35
Preparation and Logistics.....	35
Facilitation Notes	35
Homework	36
Facilitator Homework	36
Session 5 Facilitation Notes for POD Teacher Workshop.....	2
Session 5 at a Glance – 7 hours	2
5 Welcome – Session 5	4
Summary	4
Goals	4
POD Principles Emphasized.....	4

Outline (15 minutes)	5
Preparation and Logistics	5
Charts.....	5
Facilitation Notes	5
1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 4.	5
2. Review Career Spotlight homework.	5
3. Preview agenda and set focus.	6
5 Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	7
Summary	7
POD Principles Emphasized	7
Outline (95 minutes)	8
Preparation and Logistics	8
Your <u>participants</u> will need:	8
As the <u>facilitator</u> you will need:	9
Facilitation Notes	10
1. Introducing the Collaborative Assessment Conference – Looking at Student Work. (15 minutes)	10
2. Begin the Collaborative Assessment Conference – Looking at Student Work. (70 minutes).....	11
3. Share implications, reflect on the Collaborative Assessment Conference experience and consider how it may influence your Geospatial Inquiry lesson (10 minutes)	12
Collaborative Assessment Conference Steps	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 POD Research Overview	15
Summary	15
Goals	15
Outline (30 minutes)	16
Preparation and Logistics	16
Facilitation Notes	17
1. Teacher Research Kit (30 minutes)	17
5 Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	21
Summary	21
Goals	21
POD Principles Emphasized	22
Outline (150 minutes)	22
Preparation and Logistics	22
Your participants will need:	22
As the facilitator you will need:	22
Facilitation Notes	23
1. Reviewing Lesson Resources (15 minutes)	23
2. Other Resources (30 minutes)	26
3. Individual work time (105 minutes)	29
5 Celebration	30

Outline (30 minutes)	30
Preparation and Logistics.....	30
Facilitation Notes	30
5 Post GST Assessment	32
Outline (60 minutes)	32
Preparation and Logistics.....	32
Facilitation Notes	32
5 Final Evaluation – Post-Workshop Survey.....	34
Outline (40 minutes)	34
Preparation and Logistics.....	34
Facilitation Notes	35
1. Thank participants and next steps (10 minutes)	35
2. Administer Post Workshop Survey (30 minutes).....	35

INTRODUCTION TO POWER OF DATA

The Power of Data or POD Project, which focuses on Geospatial Inquiry, has been providing professional development to diverse groups of secondary teachers since 2009. POD Teacher Workshops enable secondary teachers to increase students' content knowledge, 21st century skills and awareness of geospatial technology careers through Geospatial Inquiry and data analysis.

POD project evaluators have found that teachers who participated in past POD Teacher Workshops increased their technological and pedagogical skills and confidence teaching with Geospatial Inquiry. Participating teachers implemented lessons which provided more opportunities for students to analyze data and make claims based on spatial evidence. POD programs have impacted learning for approximately 1,600 secondary and post-secondary students.

Expanding Geospatial Technology Career Development for High School Students through Teacher Professional Development (the Power of Data Project) is funded by the National Science Foundation's Division of Research on Learning, Innovative Technology Experiences for Students and Teachers (#1513287). The intent of the project is to provide teacher educators the skills and support they need to deliver POD Teacher Workshops across the nation. Thousands of students will have the opportunity to engage in Geospatial Inquiry, which integrates multi-disciplinary practices and 21st century workforce skills, increasing their awareness of and interest in GST careers (Figure 1).




Figure 1. POD model for investigating the links between PD and student interest and attitudes in GST careers.

As a Teacher Educator and Facilitation Academy participant, you are the key to the success of this project. Your participation, insights, and feedback will guide project development and ultimately support the effective integration of Geospatial Inquiry into classrooms. Thank you for your time and commitment.

Goals for Facilitators of POD Teacher Workshops

- Increase understanding of POD Principles
- Increase understanding how Geospatial Inquiry cycle embodies the POD Principles
- Increase confidence and skills for facilitating POD Teacher Workshops
- Collaborate with POD development team to study and refine POD Facilitation Academy, POD Teacher Workshop, and supporting guides

Goals for Teachers in POD Teacher Workshops

- Increase understanding of Geospatial Inquiry
- Increase confidence and skills for facilitating Geospatial Inquiry with students
- Identify opportunities to implement Geospatial Inquiry to enhance student learning of key disciplinary concepts
- Increase awareness of careers that could inspire students to enter STEM fields

What you will experience

During this Facilitation Academy, you will experience a typical POD Teacher Workshop, which engages participants in adult-level, **Geospatial Inquiry** with a focus on science.

1. You will engage in a common **Geospatial Inquiry experience**:
 - **Examine** geospatial data
 - **Ask questions** about geospatial data
 - **Analyze and interpret** geospatial **data** with the help of geospatial technologies, specifically, ArcGIS Online
 - **Engage in argument** using geospatial data as **evidence** to support written arguments
 - Present and receive feedback on your argument to peers
 - **Revise** your argument based on peer feedback.
2. You will repeat the **Geospatial Inquiry** based on your own interests.
3. You will **reflect** on your experiences as both a participant in the POD Teacher Workshop, and also as a Facilitator of a POD Teacher Workshop:
 - **Consider** to what extent these experiences affected your conceptual understanding
 - **Identify** the key components of the POD Teacher Workshop that helped you to come to these understandings
 - **Contemplate** facilitation moves and structures that contributed to a high-quality adult learning experience
 - **Plan** how you will facilitate immersive, active POD Teacher Workshops in your area

By the end of the Academy, you will be prepared to facilitate POD Teacher Workshops in your area and to support teachers as they implement Geospatial Inquiry in their classrooms.

What should you expect?

The focus of this Academy is to **learn how Geospatial Inquiry, when facilitated using instructional practices which promote learning, can support teachers' integration of Geospatial Inquiry into their classrooms.** You might increase your knowledge of Earth Science concepts and you might learn some geospatial technology skills and tools but these are not the main goals of the Academy.

What is Geospatial Inquiry?

POD defines Geospatial Inquiry as:

Asking and answering a question through the analysis and communication of data that is linked to a geographic location on, above, or near Earth. These data are often represented visually via maps.



Geospatial Inquiry involves analysis of geospatial data:

Geo: of or pertaining to Earth

Spatial: of or pertaining to space

Data: facts and statistics collected together for reference or analysis

Geospatial Inquiry is learner-focused and grounded in multiple learning cycle theories and approaches. Geospatial Inquiry includes multiple phases, but it is a continual process and does not necessarily begin or end at any one point. Often, the inquiry begins with opportunities for learners to collaboratively **EXAMINE GEOSPATIAL DATA**. They surface their ideas and **ASK QUESTIONS**. Not only does this give learners a purpose for learning, but it also provides a foundation upon which they can build new knowledge. This is followed by an opportunity to **ANALYZE AND INTERPRET GEOSPATIAL DATA**. Exploration allows learners to think critically about data as they look for geospatial patterns, relationships, and/or inconsistencies between two or more points on Earth. While making sense of geospatial data, learners can see how these data support or refute their initial ideas. They can compare their ideas with their peers, and consider how their understanding of these data fit into their existing conceptual frameworks. At this point, learners may need to rethink their initial conceptions and engage in further exploration of geospatial data. Eventually learners are ready to **ARGUE FROM EVIDENCE**. They must decide how to creatively communicate their ideas with geospatial data and visual representations, subject to peer review.

This process of fitting new ideas into existing conceptual frameworks helps develop deeper conceptual understanding. Deep conceptual understanding results in greater transferability to new contexts, which is, ultimately, the goal of learning.

Design Principles for POD Teacher Workshops

As mentioned earlier, POD Teacher Workshops are based on the POD team's experiences helping teachers integrate Geospatial Inquiry into existing courses. An extensive literature review was conducted, not only on teaching with geospatial technologies, but also on effective instruction which promotes student learning and best practices in professional development for teachers. This review resulted in the creation of POD Teacher Workshop Principles. The following Principles guide all design and delivery decisions.

- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**: answering a question, solving a problem, or explaining a phenomenon.
- Geospatial Inquiry employs geospatial technologies as **tools** which enhance the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual** evidence to support written arguments.
- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills** such as collaborating with peers to ask questions, creatively selecting and displaying appropriate geospatial data, critically analyzing and interpreting geospatial data, and engaging in argument using geospatial data as evidence to communicate ideas to diverse audiences.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** and employs technological and communication **scaffolds** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.
- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. Learning builds from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.
- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

The POD Design Principles provide the foundation for Geospatial Inquiry. The facilitation notes in this guide are intended to help you to be thoughtful about how you are presenting the Geospatial Inquiry and facilitating conversations with Teacher Workshop participants. The strategies in the facilitation notes also draw upon three key ideas from research on teaching and learning:

1. Ideas must be continually surfaced, acknowledged, shared, and used as resources to further not only the individual's but also the group's thinking and learning (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000; Campbell, Schwarz, & Windschitl, 2016).
2. Effective instruction should be sequenced over an appropriate amount of time and situated in a larger context to build conceptual understanding (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000; University of Washington, 2013).
3. Metacognition is essential to learning. Learners must have multiple opportunities to process new information and construct meaning for themselves (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000).

Ideas must be continually surfaced, acknowledged, shared and used as resources to further not only the individual's but also the group's thinking and learning.

In order to create a space for sharing ideas, participants need to feel safe asking questions and sharing their thoughts. Spending extra time in the beginning of a workshop building rapport and establishing working agreements will help ensure a safe space for learners.

Discussing individual ideas through small group conversation is a great way to share ideas. Keeping a public record of these ideas on chart paper or with digital maps is a way to use individual ideas as resources for group learning. Facilitators can then refer back to these ideas as new data is presented. The group can decide whether to revise the initial ideas based on new evidence. This process of making thinking visible supports the principle that ideas are used as resources (Campbell, Schwarz, & Windschitl, 2016).

Effective instruction should be sequenced over an appropriate amount of time and situated in a larger context to build conceptual understanding.

Effective Geospatial Inquiry has a defined purpose and is situated in a larger context. The goal of instruction is to help learners develop conceptual understandings of big ideas. Engaging in a Geospatial Inquiry that culminates in an evidence-based argument supports conceptual understanding. Learners interpret patterns and relationships in data to better understand disciplinary content. They communicate their level of conceptual understanding via the presentation of arguments which include maps to illustrate claims based on evidence. POD Teacher Workshops are structured so participants are actively engaged in Geospatial Inquiry. Teachers examine geospatial data, interpret results, and make sense of the content as learners. This provides a common experience to subsequently unpack the experience: What did we do? Why did we do it that way? All the instructional activities in the POD model are aligned to a central driving question anchoring the learning and providing a purpose for engaging in the inquiry.

The activities are structured to focus on only a few critical pieces and geographic relationships at a time. This structuring limits cognitive overload.

The goal of Geospatial Inquiry is for students to create a representation and accompanying written argument communicating a claim about the geospatial data they explored, thus demonstrating their level of conceptual understanding. Skilled facilitators can guide participants to think critically about the data and back up their claims with evidence by asking appropriate questions at key junctures. The summary table is an organizational strategy to keep a public record of learning through the sequence of instruction and consider how each learned concept addresses the driving question. The templates provided help scaffold the process of writing claims based on geospatial evidence with appropriate reasoning. It cannot be assumed that teachers are familiar with this skill.

Metacognition is essential to learning. Learners must have multiple opportunities to process new information and construct meaning for themselves.

Content has little value if learners do not have time to make sense of the information for themselves and apply it in some way. A good rule to follow is for every 10 minutes of information presented, participants should have at least 2 minutes to make sense, discuss, and reflect. If participants are talking to one another about a prompt you provide, they are processing the content and are more likely to learn. While you are facilitating, look for opportunities to engage participants as individuals, in pairs, and in small groups to share what they are thinking. During these interactions, be sure everyone has access to ideas and resources and everyone has an opportunity to share their thoughts.

Because POD Teachers are participating as both learners and teachers, they sometimes get so wrapped up in one point of view they completely miss the other. Metacognition is even more crucial in these situations. Facilitators must remind participants which lens (Learner or Teacher) they are viewing and considering at any given time during the Workshop. Facilitators must also be explicit the goals and values being promoted during each section of the Workshop. For example, participants must be asked to consider which multi-disciplinary practices were used during the Geospatial Inquiry, how these promoted not only their learning, but also how they might provide more opportunities for students to engage with these practices and skills.

Geospatial technologies are tools that support Geospatial Inquiry: to make sense of relationships and patterns in geospatial data and to create visual representations which can be used as evidence to support written arguments.

The POD Teacher Workshops rely on technology. Specifically, ArcGIS Online, a web-based geographic information system.

Although ArcGIS Online can simply display geospatial data, the power lies in its capacity to enhance human abilities to find relationships and patterns between two or more places on Earth and create visual representations which highlight these patterns and relationships to others. Geospatial analyses that once took years can be accomplished in seconds using technology tools like ArcGIS Online.

Because ArcGIS Online is powerful, using it to its full capacity can get complicated. When provided step-by-step instructions, it can be easy for participants to get lost in the “button pushing” and lose track of what they are trying to accomplish. To promote metacognition, POD Teacher Workshops employ a geospatial analysis framework (Mitchell, 1999). This should help participants to focus on what they are trying to accomplish and to think more deeply about how and why they might explore and present geospatial data. The geospatial analysis ideas highlighted in the POD Teacher Workshop include:

1. Examining where things are
2. Examining most and least
3. Finding areas of concentration
4. Finding what’s inside
5. Finding what’s nearby
6. Examining change over time

Facilitation Model

When presenting to adults it is important to honor their time and experience as professionals. As a POD Facilitator you will have access to daily agendas that you can post so your participants know what to expect from each session. Each activity is related to POD Principles, which promotes coherency for participants.

The POD model process for working with adult learners is to share *what* activity or process the group will be doing, *why* they are doing it, and *how* they will accomplish it with specific instructions. For example, we often suggest changing participation structures from small groups, to pairs, to whole group. This is to promote equity and access to ideas and to enable participants to hear different perspectives. Sharing the POD rationale for these structures and processes encourages adults to participate and reduces resistance.

The POD model scaffolds processing and learning over the course of the Workshop. At the beginning, participants experience a great deal of structure for interactions and activities to increase their feelings of safety. Over time, less structure is provided and more independence is allowed as investigations progress.

Predictable processes can aid in group learning. The POD Teacher Workshops engage participants in an iterative Geospatial Inquiry focused on Earth Science Content: *Examine geospatial data; Ask questions; Analyze and interpret geospatial data; Engage in argument; Revise arguments*. Each session in the POD Teacher Workshop participants can look forward to active learning including: *Geospatial Inquiry* where they experience the Hazard and Risk example *Geospatial Inquiry; Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry* during which they consider benefits and dilemmas others have experienced when teaching with Geospatial Inquiry; *Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry*, which helps them consider ways they can structure a learning environment conducive to positive learning outcomes for students; *Career Spotlight*, which introduces a diverse pool of professionals who engage in Geospatial Inquiry to accomplish a variety of multidisciplinary tasks; and

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xxvi

Designing a Geospatial Inquiry, which provides time for them to plan a Geospatial Inquiry to enhance their instruction.

The POD Teacher Workshop provides teachers an opportunity to explore existing resources and plan to implement a Geospatial Inquiry in their classroom. However, the professional support should not end on the last session of the POD Teacher Workshop. To ensure implementation and changing practices, professional development should not only be of substantive duration but it should also include follow up support. After teachers have had an opportunity to implement some of the strategies they planned, you should be available to help them. Facilitate a time for them to come back together either face-to-face or online to discuss challenges and successes. This additional support from you is critical during the implementation phase.

References

- Banilower, E., Cohen, K., Pasley, J., & Weiss, I. (2010). Effective science instruction: What does research tell us? *Center on Instruction*.
- Bransford, Brown, and Cocking (Eds.). (2006). How Students Learn Science in the Classroom. National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academies Press
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.) (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Campbell, T., Schwarz, C., & Windschitl, M. (2016). What We Call Misconceptions May Be Necessary Stepping-Stones Toward Making Sense of the World. *Science and Children*, 53(7), 28.
- Cunningham, C. (2012). Engineering is Elementary Teacher Educator Institute.
- Daehler, K., Folsom, J., Shinohara, M. (2011). *Making Sense of Science Facilitator Academy*.
- Desimone, L. M., Porter, A. C., Garet, M. S., Yoon, K. S., & Birman, B. F. (2002). Effects of professional development on teachers' instruction: Results from a three-year longitudinal study. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(2), 81-112.
- Esri (2011). *Geographic Inquiry*
- Garmston, R. (1997). *The presenter's fieldbook: A practical guide*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers.
- Garmston, R. & Wellman, B. (2009). *The Adaptive School: A Sourcebook for Developing Collaborative Groups*. 2nd Edition. Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc. Norwood, MA.
- Garmston, R. & Wellman, B. (2013). *The adaptive school: A sourcebook for developing collaborative groups*. 2nd Edition. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers.
- Guskey, T. R. (2003). What makes professional development effective? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 84(10), 748-750.
- Jeanpierre, B., Oberhauser, K., & Freeman, C. (2005). Characteristics of professional development that effect change in secondary science teachers' classroom practices. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(6), 668-690.

- Loucks-Horsley, S., Love, N., Stiles, K., Mundry, S., & Hewson, P. (2003). *Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Luft, J.A, & Hewson, P.W. (2014). Research on teacher professional development programs in science. In S. K. Abell & N. Lederman (Eds.), *Handbook of research on science education 2nd edition* (pp. 889-909). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Makinster, J. Trautmann, N., & Barnett, M. (Eds.) (2014). *Teaching Science and Investigating Environmental Issues with Geospatial Technology: Designing Effective Professional Development for Teachers*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Mitchell, A. (1999). *The Esri guide to GIS analysis. Volume 1: Geographic patterns and relationships*. Esri Press. Redlands, CA.
- National Research Council. (2006). *Learning to think spatially*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2007). *Framework for 21st Century Learning*. www. p21.org
- University of Washington (2013). Tools for ambitious science teaching. Accessed February 2016: <http://ambitiousscience Teaching.org/>
- Whitworth, B. A., & Chiu, J. L. (2015). Professional development and teacher change: The missing leadership link. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 26, 121-137.

This page left intentionally blank

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xxx

Gamma Version- September 2017

HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

This guide is intended to help you facilitate a POD Teacher Workshop with integrity. It is not intended for Teacher Participants. Each session includes the following:

Summary

A brief description of what occurs in the session

Goals

A list of what participants should know and be able to do by end of the section via opportunities you provide; aligned with POD Principles

POD Principles Emphasized

The most relevant principles highlighted in the section

Outline

List of steps and estimated length of each step

Preparation and Logistics

Items to prepare ahead of time specific to that Session and to have available to participants. Note there are some items that will be needed in every session. These are not repeated in Preparation and Logistics. See “Prior to Teaching a POD Teacher Workshop” for these items.

Facilitation Notes

Step-by-step instructions and suggestions for facilitation, including notes on what to emphasize and opportunities to highlight the POD Principles with sample questions to push thinking and possible responses and examples of charts you might create along the way.

Facilitation Tips

Reminders and suggestions to you as the Facilitator to ensure you have a successful workshop. They include alternative activities, ways to get participants to engage, and other suggestions. Common roadblocks are anticipated, and tips and tricks for overcoming them are included.

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is: begeospatial

Legend to guide symbols

Demonstrate



This symbol indicates places where you demonstrate a tool or technology for participants.

Discuss



This symbol indicates a place where you facilitate a discussion (in small or whole group).

Teacher Guide

We often refer to the Teacher Guide. You will need a Teacher Guide to see what teachers will see.

Pages the teachers will see in their accompanying POD Teacher Guide are sometimes embedded in the Facilitation Notes. So you can see what the teachers will see, Teacher Guide pages are outlined with a box.

EXAMPLE

If you were asked to evaluate your prediction, what information about this hazard would you want to know and what data would you want to acquire?

What we need to know

Data we want to acquire and explore

OVERVIEW OF A POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

Most sessions for teachers will include:

- Geospatial Inquiry
- Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry
- Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry – (Talk Science, TERC)
- Career Spotlight
- Designing a Geospatial Inquiry
- Metacognition
- Reflecting on the learning
- Homework

Though the order of these activities may vary on any given session, they are key components to the success of the POD Teacher Workshop. This Facilitation Guide follows a 5 session format, assuming 7 hour sessions. Below is an overview of the 5 sessions. **Note: The size of the boxes in the graphic agenda are not representative of time spent on each activity.**

Agenda for 35 Hour POD Teacher Workshop

S e s s i o n 1	Intro to POD	GST Pre Assessment	Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
S e s s i o n 2	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry		Career Spotlight		Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
S e s s i o n 3	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
S e s s i o n 4	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
S e s s i o n 5	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Workshop Evaluation

What follows are brief overviews for each critical strand of a POD Teacher Workshop: Geospatial Inquiry, Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xxxv

Inquiry, Designing a Geospatial Inquiry, Career Spotlight, and Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry. Metacognition and Homework are not outlined.

Overview of the Geospatial Inquiry: Hazards and Risk

This Geospatial Inquiry on Hazards and Risk occurs over four sessions. It is intended to provide everyone with a common introduction to Geospatial Inquiry. This provides an example of how Geospatial Inquiry might be added to an existing unit of study to support deeper conceptual understanding of an Earth Science concept.

Session 1 introduces the driving questions: *How can we predict if an area is at high risk for natural disaster?* and *How can geospatial data be used to help explain where and why natural hazards occur?* Participants **EXAMINE DATA** on current global natural hazards and consider the difference between a hazard and a risk. They explore the ArcGIS Online interface before engaging with the first geospatial analysis frameworks: “Examining Where Things Are”, “Finding Areas of Concentration” and “Examining Most and Least”.

Participants identify and **ASK QUESTIONS** they would like to answer and data they may need to explore in order to answer these questions. They examine zones of seismic activity as they **ANALYZE AND INTERPRET** global earthquake **DATA**. The session closes with participants presenting their **EVIDENCE-BASED ARGUMENTS** about where major earthquakes occur to their peers for feedback and **REVISION**.

In Session 2 participants continue to examine the relationship between earthquake characteristics and factors and refine their claims from Session 1B. Working with a partner, participants **ACQUIRE ADDITIONAL DATA** to determine if it supports their claims, including data from external sources. They **ANALYZE** the data in ArcGIS Online. Finally, partners present their **ARGUMENT** for peer-feedback using the ArcGIS Online presentation tool.

After participants have a deeper understanding of risk of natural seismic events, **Session 3** reintroduces the idea of the vulnerable (human) system and the role it plays in disaster risk determination. The foci for geospatial analyses in this session are “Finding Areas of Concentration” and “Finding What’s

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xxxvi

Nearby”. Participants are tasked with determining the risk of seismic disaster in particular areas of the world. They acquire and **ANALYZE AND INTERPRET DATA** related to their assigned regions in order to create an **EVIDENCE-BASED ARGUMENT** in a Story Map about whether significant resources should be devoted to planning for or mitigating the effects of earthquakes in their region. The session ends with **ASKING QUESTIONS** about other problems that could be examined using the same data.

In **Session 4**, participants apply their understanding of the relationship between vulnerable systems and natural hazards from the Geospatial Inquiry on earthquakes to determine the risk of any natural disaster in their local regions. Participants identify the data and geospatial analyses frameworks they need to consider to conduct their own Geospatial Inquiry. They also choose the best ArcGIS Online geospatial deliverable for their arguments based on their purpose and intended audience.

Earth Science, Geography, and Geospatial Technology Concepts Addressed throughout the Four-Session Geospatial Inquiry

Earth Science:

- Some hazards are preceded by phenomena that allow for reliable predictions and others occur with no notice. Mapping and history in a region combined with understanding geological forces can help forecast future events.
- There are several natural phenomena that can become hazards. Whenever they occur, life or property may be lost. However, not all areas of the world are impacted equally by these natural hazards.
- Some natural hazards, such as volcanic eruptions and severe weather, are preceded by phenomena that allow for reliable predictions. Others, such as earthquakes, occur suddenly and with no notice, and thus they are not yet predictable. However, mapping the history of natural hazards in a region, combined with an understanding of related geological forces can help forecast the locations and likelihoods of future events. (NGSS, ESS3.B 6-8)

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xxxvii

- Natural hazards can be local, regional, or global in origin, and their risks increase as populations grow. (NGSS, ESS3.B 9-12)

Geography:

- The effects of physical processes vary across regions of the world and over time.
- Earth's physical processes are dynamic and interactive
- Geographic representations and geospatial technologies can help us investigate and analyze geographic questions and to communicate geographic answers
- Physical systems affect human systems. Humans perceive and react to environmental hazards in different ways.
- Geography can be applied to interpret the past, present, and to plan for the future

Geospatial Technology Skills:

- Spatial Analysis
- Symbolization
- Classification
- Querying datasets
- Presentation and sharing

Geospatial Analysis Frameworks:

- Examining where things are
- Examining most and least
- Finding areas of concentration
- Finding what's inside
- Finding what's nearby
- Examining change over time

Overview of Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry

The “Pedagogical Moves” strand focuses on strategies to support Geospatial Inquiry. Classroom videos from Talk Science, a program on academically productive talk developed with NSF funding by TERC, are utilized in this strand. The idea of productive talk (discourse) is introduced in the first session of this strand so participants can consider how productive talk and teacher moves can support each phase of Geospatial Inquiry. The scaffolding of communication beyond talk is also emphasized.

Session 1 introduces the idea of academically productive talk, the purposes of talk and how to plan for it, and four types of conversations as they are related to the steps of Geospatial Inquiry.

Session 2 helps teachers establish a culture of productive talk and reflect on what we have done to promote this culture in our workshop thus far. This is completed as a homework assignment.

In Session 3, “Talk Moves” are introduced. These are actions teachers can take to encourage academically productive talk related to learning goals in each step of Geospatial Inquiry.

Finally, teachers explore how talk, participation, and writing are scaffolded throughout the Geospatial Inquiry to ensure equity and access to ideas and to guarantee student success as they develop and demonstrate conceptual understanding.

Overview of Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry

In this strand, participants consider implications of teaching with Geospatial Inquiry, including potential challenges when teaching with technology and open-ended inquiry and possible benefits for students.

In Session 3, they read interviews from teachers who have implemented Geospatial Inquiry. They attempt to characterize the skills and dispositions needed for successful implementation of Geospatial Inquiry, the contexts that support Geospatial Inquiry, benefits for students who engage in Geospatial Inquiry, and barriers to implementation. They then consider how their individual situations compare. Participants also consider challenges they may face when implementing Geospatial Inquiry and how they might overcome these challenges.

Geospatial Inquiry hinges on students being able to produce quality evidence of learning via multiple opportunities for presentation and revision. Participants explore the best ways to provide quality feedback to students and challenges with assessment including rubrics in Session 4, and examine student work using a Collaborative Assessment Conference protocol in session 5.

Overview of Designing Geospatial Inquiry

This strand is intended to provide participants time to develop their own Geospatial Inquiry lessons. When they leave the POD Teacher Workshop, participants should have a Geospatial Inquiry lesson that will enhance a unit they currently teach. They will be expected to implement this lesson with students within 6 months of attending the POD Teacher Workshop.

Participants are guided to complete one small section of a Geospatial Inquiry Template from Session 2 to Session 5. In Session 5, they curate and prepare data and save it in their folder in the POD ArcGIS Online Organization account.

Geospatial Inquiry Template

- Big ideas/concepts/guiding questions
- Geospatial Analysis Framework Focus (Foci)
- Guiding question(s)
- What will you assess and when will you provide feedback?
- What evidence will you collect from students that they have developed conceptual understanding?
- How will you assess conceptual understanding?
- Anchoring video and map(s) or dataset(s) to explore
- Lesson progression and summary table to scaffold skills, academically productive talk, support growth and changes in thinking
- Additional lessons beyond geospatial lessons

Overview of Career Spotlight

A main goal of the POD project is to improve student interest, awareness and attitude toward STEM careers. The career spotlight pieces are an opportunity for teachers to engage students in thinking about possible STEM careers that include geospatial technology skills and comparing their own work as a student learner with the work of career professionals in different geospatial technology fields.

In Sessions 2-4, participants are introduced to professionals who use Geospatial Inquiry and geospatial technologies such as geographic information systems (GIS) to solve problems, answer questions, and explain phenomena. After each “spotlight” participants reflect on how the individual’s work compares to Geospatial Inquiry they have experienced, and consider ways to expose students to STEM and geospatial careers.

TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO TEACHING A POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

You and the participants will need access to these materials for the entire POD Teacher Workshop.

Technology Checklist

- Secure a computer lab with computers that allow participants to download files and have a current internet browser (Internet Explorer, Chrome, Firefox, Safari) <http://doc.arcgis.com/en/arcgis-online/reference/browsers.htm> and projection capabilities
- At least two weeks prior to the POD Teacher Workshop:
 - Send Mark.Manone@nau.edu a list of participants' names and emails so he can invite them to the POD Org
 - Create the Getting to Know You survey in Survey 123. <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > “Creating the Survey in Survey 123”
 - Create a group in the POD Organization for your Teachers (e.g. “Arizona POD Teachers” or “Smith’s POD Teachers” and invite the teachers to that group.
 - Email participants. Ask them to:
 - Complete the pre workshop survey. Links to the survey can be found in Research Kit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> Password is begeospatial
 - Complete the Memorandum of Understanding and other paperwork from the Facilitator Research Toolkit (access at <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/>: Facilitator Research Toolkit
 - Remind them to come with a lesson they know well that might be enhanced by having students explore geospatial data in ArcGIS Online

- Complete the Getting to Know You survey in Survey 123 prior to attending the Workshop

Workshop Materials Checklist

- Print and prepare this Facilitation Guide and a Teacher Guide for yourself or access online (online only not recommended)
- Print and prepare Teacher Guide Binders for teachers or provide notebooks and have participants access the online Teacher Guide (Online Only is not recommended) <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/>
 - Purchase 2” binders with D rings – they hold more and are easier to turn
 - Purchase divider tabs to divide Sessions 1-5 and Research Kit (e.g. http://www.staples.com/Avery-Index-Maker-Clear-Label-Tab-Dividers-5-Tab-White-5-Sets-Pack/product_257360)
 - Download and print the Section Separators on colored cardstock (e.g. Geospatial Inquiry is blue, Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry is pink, etc. Continue for Career Spotlight, Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry, Metacognition and Homework, Science Session Review, Geospatial Technology Session Review). Access at <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> Facilitation Resources > Resources & Handout Duplication Masters > Section Separators for POD Teacher Workshop Binder.
 - Copy the ArcGIS Online Task Cards on colored cardstock for easy reference
 - Copy the Geospatial Inquiry and Goals Document on white cardstock in color for easy reference
 - 3 hole punch any additional handouts so they can be placed in binders
- Print and laminate the Geospatial Inquiry poster if you didn't take one from the Academy – Download at <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitation Resources > Geospatial Inquiry Graphics
- Print and laminate 3 arrows that can be taped onto Geospatial Inquiry poster and moved as you progress through the session (painter's tape is recommended if the poster is not laminated)
- Print 5 sets of Role Cards onto cardstock, laminate, and cut <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters

Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xlv

- Create nametags or provide cardstock for name tents
- Create sign in sheet for each Session
- Obtain baskets and office supplies for each group of participants (Pens or pencils, Post-it notes, Highlighters, Markers – dark colors)
- Obtain large chart paper (and tape if not sticky) and dark markers for your use

Charts

Use chart paper to create the following charts:

- General agendas for each Session
- Parking Lot
- Geospatial Analysis Framework Questions - Use a different color for each one of the bullets on the *Geospatial Analysis Framework* chart. See sample below. You will use the color coding in Session 2.
- Guiding Questions
- Summary Table (see end of Geospatial Inquiry Session 1b)



Introduction and Overview of POD, Session 1xlvii

ARCGIS ONLINE TASK CARDS

Use these cards for easy reference to tasks completed throughout the Workshop.

Task #1: Log-in and Explore the Interface of ArcGIS online

- Go to ArcGIS.com
- Log-in to your account in the POD ORG
- Click on Map to create a new map
- Click on Basemap and click on different options (show “Imagery” and “Oceans”)
- Search for location (type in your town/city in the “Find address or place” box). Bookmark this location
- Click on the Measure tool to measure a distance from or area around the location you selected
- Scroll/pan around, zoom in/out, and press “home” icon to return to original extent of map
- Use the Bookmark to return to your saved location
- Note that when you zoom in and zoom out with Basemap, scale dependent features show up and disappear depending on how much you are zoomed in or zoomed out.

Task #2: Create Map Note Layer and Save the Layer with the ORG

- Click on Add button, choose Add Map Notes
- Enter an appropriate name for the Map Note
- Choose the tool that fits your need (Points, Text, Lines, or Areas)
- Click on map to add your point, text, line, or area to the map
- Enter a title and description
- (Optional) Add an image link to “Image URL” (to display image in popup) and “Image Link URL” to go to a website
- Click Close
- When done – Click the Edit button
- In the Contents pane – Hover over the layer name and click on the “...” – choose “Save Layer” to save the layer. Save the map if you want to save this layer in your current map.
- In the Contents pane – Hover over the layer name and click on the “...” again, choose “Show Item Details”. Click on the “Share” button and then click on “Power of Data”

Task #3 Add a Data Layer

- Click on Add button, choose Search for Layers
- In the *Find:* box (enter search term)
- In the *In:* box (choose ArcGIS Online) – **DO NOT SKIP THIS STEP**
- Uncheck the “Within map area” checkbox
- Examine the metadata for the layer by clicking on the layer title in the search results and then “Item Details”
 - Read the summary and about the source
 - Does this data layer help us answer our guiding question?
 - Is the data layer from a credible source?
 - If so, click Add to add it to your map
- Click Done Adding Layers
- If necessary, expand the layer by clicking the arrow next to the layer name and to display the layer, click in the box next to the layer (there will be a checkmark in the box when the layer is “on” and visible)
- Examine the Content & Legend icons above the word Contents

Task #4: Analyze Areas of Concentration (Density)

- Click on the Analysis button (next to Basemap)
- Select an appropriate point or line layer for concentration analysis (e.g. earthquakes, tornados)
- Select Analyze Patterns
- Select Calculate Density
- Be sure that the layer you are analyzing is selected in Box 1
- The count field should be left as “No count field”
- Enter a new name for the result layer you are creating
- Be sure that the Use Current Map Extent button is unchecked
- Save result in box to a folder in your Org account
- Click on Show credits to review consumption (is this a reasonable number of credits? If very high, perhaps reduce the zoom area on the map)
- Click the RUN ANALYSIS button

Task #5: Table Functions, Symbolizing Data and Thematic Mapping

Display an item's data table:

- Hover over a layer in the Content pane to display the icons below it
- Click on the Table icon to “Show Table”

In the table:

1. Click on the column header
2. Sort by column – ascending or descending

Other table options:

Select features from a table:

1. Click on a row to select a feature
2. Select multiple rows in table by depressing shift key and clicking on first and last rows to display on the map (to select noncontiguous rows, click on control key (PC) or command key (Mac) and click on desired rows) - Note highlighted points on the map
3. Click on Options to Show Selected Records, Center on Selection, or Clear Selected Features

Filter by One Variable (e.g. Earthquake Magnitude)

1. In the table, click on Options - Filter
2. Set Filter Criteria (e.g. Magnitude is greater than 7.9)
3. Apply Filter

Change Styles (Symbology):

- To display the same layer in different ways, be sure you are in the Content pane
- Click on “...” next to the layer and select “Copy” (NOTE: this option is not always available on content added from ArcGIS Online)
- Click on “...” next to the layer and select “Rename” to change the new layer name

In the Content pane:

1. Thematic mapping by depth (color)
 - a. Hover over the layer
 - b. Click on Change Style icon
 - c. Choose an attribute to show – (e.g. Depth_km)
 - d. Click on Counts and Amounts (**Color**) Options button
 - e. Choose Classify Data
 - f. Change classes to 5
 - g. Click OK and Done

2. Thematic mapping by magnitude (size)
 - a. Hover over Data layer
 - b. Click on Change Style icon
 - c. Choose an attribute to show – (e.g. Magnitude)
 - d. Click on Counts and Amounts (**Size**) Options button
 - e. Under “Classify Data” choose Natural Breaks
 - f. Change classes to 5
 - g. Change Size – Max from 50 to 25
 - h. Click OK and Done

3. Filter
 - a. Hover over Data layer
 - b. Click on Filter icon
 - c. Create expression using attribute, greater than, less than, is, etc.
 - d. Choose value, field or unique numbers
 - e. Apply filter

Task #6: Find Nearest and Find Average Distance

We are going to determine the average distance of earthquakes from plate boundaries for a given time period. To do this we are going to use the Find Nearest tool in the Analysis toolset. This tool can only work with up to 1000 features (points, lines, polygons) and we have over 2000 earthquakes in the EarthquakesGlob_57 layer. So we will filter the *EarthquakesGlob_57* layer to represent earthquakes only from 2005-2007 which will provide a subset of 846 features for our analysis. Once we find the nearest distance, we will summarize the field statistics to find the average distance.

1. In the Contents pane hover over the data layer and select the filter icon
2. In the first box select YEAR_
3. In the second box select IS BETWEEN
4. In the third box enter 2005, and fourth box enter 2007
5. Press APPLY FILTER
6. Select the ANALYSIS button on the top bar next to BASEMAP
7. Select Use Proximity
8. Select Find Nearest
9. In box 1 (the layer from which the nearest locations are found) select EarthquakesGlob_57
10. In box 2 (find nearest locations in) select TectonicPlateBoundaries
11. In box 3 (measure) be sure that it is set to Line Distance
12. In box 4, limit the number of nearest locations to 1 and uncheck the “Limit search range” box

Filter: EarthquakesGlob_57 ×

Create + Add another expression Add a set

Display features in the layer that match the following expression

Year_ is between 2005 and 2007

Value Field Unique

Ask for values

Task #6: Find Nearest and Find Average Distance (continued)

13. In box 5 name your resultant layer

Details Add Basemap Analysis

Find Nearest

1 Choose the layer from which the nearest locations are found:

EarthquakesGlob_57

2 Find the nearest locations in:

TectonicPlateBoundaries

3 Measure

Line distance

4 For each location in the input layer

Limit the number of nearest locations to:

1

Limit the search range to

100 Miles

5 Result layer name

distancetoplateboundary_manone

Save result in manone

Use current map extent [Show credits](#)

RUN ANALYSIS

DISTANCETOPLATEBOUNDARY_YOURNAME

14. Be sure the Save result in box is your folder in the ORG

15. Be sure that the “Use current map extent” box is unchecked

16. Click on Show credits to review consumption (is this a reasonable number of credits?)

17. Press RUN ANALYSIS

18. There will be two layers generated, we are interested in the layer that contains the file name followed by “-Connecting Lines”

19. Hover over the “-Connecting Lines” layer and choose SHOW TABLE

20. The second field (column) should be named Straight Line Distance. This is the distance from this earthquake to a plate boundary

21. Click on the field name and Select Statistics

Task #6: Find Nearest and Find Average Distance (continued)

22. View the Average to see the average distance of these earthquakes to plate boundaries
23. Close table


Task #7 Create a Presentation

1. Save map
2. Click on Create Presentation
3. Click + button in Slide Properties pane
4. Type in a title for your first slide
5. Choose layers you wish to show on this slide from the list below
6. Change Basemap if you would like
7. Click on any feature on your map to open a data pop-up
8. Click the checkbox to “Include open pop-up in presentation”
9. Click on Slide List to see a list of your slides
10. Click on the Add button to add a new slide
11. Click on Duplicate to duplicate an existing slide
12. Save map after you have finished adding and editing slides
13. Click Play to view your Presentation

GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

POD defines Geospatial Inquiry as:

Asking and answering a question through the analysis and communication of data that is linked to a geographic location on, above, or near Earth. These data are often represented visually via maps.



Geospatial Inquiry involves analysis of geospatial data:

Geo: of or pertaining to Earth

Spatial: of or pertaining to space

Data: facts and statistics collected together for reference or analysis

Goals for Facilitators of POD Teacher Workshops

- Increase understanding of POD Principles
- Increase understanding how Geospatial Inquiry cycle embodies the POD Principles
- Increase confidence and skills for facilitating POD Teacher Workshops
- Collaborate with POD development team to study and refine POD Facilitation Academy, POD Teacher Workshop, and supporting guides

Goals for Teachers in POD Teacher Workshops

- Increase understanding of Geospatial Inquiry
- Increase confidence and skills for facilitating Geospatial Inquiry with students
- Identify opportunities to implement Geospatial Inquiry to enhance student learning of key disciplinary concepts
- Increase awareness of careers that could inspire students to enter STEM fields

Design Principles for POD Teacher Workshops

- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**: answering a question, solving a problem, or explaining a phenomenon.
- Geospatial Inquiry employs geospatial technologies as tools which enhance the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual** evidence to support written arguments.
- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills** such as collaborating with peers to ask questions, creatively selecting and displaying appropriate geospatial data, critically analyzing and interpreting geospatial data, and engaging in argument using geospatial data as evidence to communicate ideas to diverse audiences.
- Geospatial Inquiry requires **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** and employs technological and communication scaffolds to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.
- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

This page left intentionally blank

SESSION 1 FACILITATION NOTES FOR POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

Session 1 at a Glance – 7 hours

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
90 minutes	165 minutes	35 minutes	110 minutes	20 minutes

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is begeospatial

This page left intentionally blank

1 WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION TO POWER OF DATA TEACHER WORKSHOPS

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
--------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	---

Summary

Participants are welcomed, introduced to the format and logistics of the workshop, and begin to form a learning community. Working agreements are established, and Geospatial Inquiry is introduced as the basis for the learning together.

Participants get to know one another by viewing the Web Map that you created from the Getting to Know You survey and should have sent prior to the workshop. Working agreements are collaboratively established for the Workshop. Participants preview the agenda, and discuss the two lenses – Teacher and Adult Learner – they will use during the Workshop.

You introduce the terms geospatial data and Geospatial Inquiry, followed by concrete definitions as the POD Project views them. Finally, participants share their expectations for this Workshop.

Goals

- Develop rapport and trust between facilitators and participants and **establish a learning community**
- Review **goals, expectations, and agenda** for the POD Teacher Workshop
- Collaboratively develop **working agreements** for the POD Teacher Workshop
- Learn the POD definition of Geospatial Inquiry as the foundation of the POD Teacher Workshop
- Explain the crux of Geospatial Inquiry is **purpose**.

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**.

Outline (90 minutes)

1. Welcome, Goals, Agenda	10 minutes
2. Process	5 minutes
3. Getting to Know Each Other	35 minutes
4. Working Agreements	10 minutes
5. What is Geospatial Inquiry?	15 minutes
6. Participant Expectations	15 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Access to the POD Organization account and computers to take the GST Pre Workshop Performance assessment


As the facilitator you will need:

- The Web Map you saved from the Getting to Know You survey the teachers completed prior to the POD Teacher Workshop

Charts

- Agenda for Session 1
- Working Agreements (blank until you complete with the group)
- Participant Expectations (blank until you complete with the group)
- Create this chart and cover until ready to reveal:

Geospatial Data



Facilitation Tip:

Provide an hour lunch around the normal lunch hour, but don't include specific times on the agenda. You don't want to stop in the middle of learning. Be sure to build in some official breaks during natural transitions.

Facilitation Tip:

When returning from lunch or a break, plan an activity such as an individual reflection or small group discussion about prior learning. That way, if participants arrive late, they are not missing critical new pieces of learning.

Facilitation Notes

1. Welcome, goals, structure of the workshop. (10 minutes)

Provide an area where participants can sign in, obtain name tags and materials and invite them to sit. Alternatively, place binders and nametags at computer stations.

Welcome your participants with a slide that invites them to get settled, look at their binders, and fill out forms. Provide directions for logging into computers, if necessary.

Explain that POD Workshops were created to enhance teaching and learning by integrating powerful geospatial technology tools and teaching strategies into classrooms. POD calls this process Geospatial Inquiry.

Direct participants to view the goals of POD Teacher Workshops in [Teacher Guide](#).

TG: page. xiii, Introduction

GOALS FOR TEACHERS IN POD TEACHER WORKSHOPS

- Increase understanding of Geospatial Inquiry
- Increase confidence and skills for facilitating Geospatial Inquiry with students
- Identify opportunities to implement Geospatial Inquiry to enhance student learning of key disciplinary concepts
- Increase awareness of careers that could inspire students to enter STEM fields

Direct participants to the Graphic Agenda in their Teacher Guide

Participant Graphic Agenda, page xxxiv

Session 1	Intro to POD	GST Pre Assessment	Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
Session 2	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Geospatial Inquiry		Career Spotlight		Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
Session 3	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
Session 4	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
Session 5	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Workshop Evaluation

Explain each of the components of POD Teacher Workshop. You can use Teacher Guide p. xi to help with explanation.

- Geospatial Inquiry
- Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry
- Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry
- Designing Geospatial Inquiry
- Career Spotlight

Learner Lens, Teacher Lens

Explain that as participants experience the different components of the Workshop, they will be viewing the activities through different lenses. Sometimes they are asked to consider the experience as an adult learner, such as while they work through the example Geospatial Inquiry on Hazard and Risk. Other times, participants will be viewing the experience as a teacher, for example, during Pedagogical Moves to Support Geospatial Inquiry. Explain that you will remind participants of the primary lens they are using at any given time during the Workshop to help them focus their attention.

Draw teachers' attention to the Session 1 agenda you posted and review it with them. Note location of restrooms, how breaks will occur, general plan for lunch, and other logistics.

2. Process the learning so far (5 minutes)

Direct participants to their [Teacher Guide](#) Introduction pages vi-ix (What you will experience, What should you expect, Structure of each session) and have them jot down a few notes about what they have heard so far. Invite them to share their thoughts with an elbow partner.

Facilitation Tip:

Although the order of these activities may vary on any given session, they are key components to the success of the POD Teacher Workshop.

Facilitation Tip:

For every 10 minutes of talking, build in at least 2 minutes for participants to process ideas, talk to a partner, or ask questions.

3. Get to know you (35 minutes)

Your participants should have entered their information on the Getting to Know You survey in Survey 123 prior to attending the Workshop. You will use this to facilitate a “getting to know you” session.

Explain that this grounding activity serves several purposes.

1. It is geospatial in nature and demonstrates one application of ArcGIS Online and the Survey 123 App.
2. It helps participants get to know one another.
3. Sometimes, when adults enter a new learning situation, they may be anxious about learning. They may feel self-conscious and unsure about their abilities, or they may be distracted by what is happening outside the workshop. This helps them set their worries aside and commit to learning.
4. It provides an opportunity for participants (and facilitators) to see that everyone has something they want to learn and that no one is an expert.

Using the Web map you created from the survey (<http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > “Creating the Survey in Survey 123”), fly to each person and allow them to introduce themselves. Post ONE or TWO of these questions:

- Something Fun about Me
- My goals for attending POD
- How I feel about teaching with GIS
- Model the BRIEF process, then ask participants to respond.

Facilitation Tip:

Be very strict about time limits. No more than 1 minute per participant. Alternatively, save time and do this prior to the workshop online or in person. If you skip the whole group activity, be sure to allow participants to greet one another in small groups and to share goals or feelings.

4. Working agreements (10 minutes)

Explain that when a new group convenes it is a good idea to develop some working agreements together. This establishes specific agreements for this situation, as opposed to general norms, and can alleviate potential problems before they begin. Facilitate the generation of working agreements for your group. Chart the ideas and keep them posted. If conflicts arise, respectfully ask the group to revisit the working agreements and ask if any changes are necessary.

Example Working Agreements:

- Begin and end on time
- Use technology in “learning mode”
- Respect ideas and disagree respectfully
- Participate fully
- Limit sidebar conversations
- Pay attention to self and others
- Presume positive intentions

5. What is Geospatial Inquiry? (15 minutes)


Ask participants to take a moment to consider the word geospatial. What does it mean? Ask them to individually jot down a quick definition.



After a moment, ask individuals to share their definitions with those at their table to come up with a table definition.

After a few minutes, ask for a volunteer to share their table definition with the whole group.

Introduce the POD definition of geospatial data. Break it down as follows on a chart or board:



Explain that we analyze geospatial data when we engage in Geospatial Inquiry.

Direct participants to read our definition of Geospatial Inquiry in the [Teacher Guide](#) Introduction: “What is Geospatial Inquiry?” Ask for questions or comments.

We define Geospatial Inquiry as:

Asking and answering a question through the analysis and communication of data that is linked to a geographic location on, above, or near Earth.
These data are often represented visually via maps.

Reveal the Geospatial Inquiry poster.

Explain we will use ArcGIS Online, a geographic information system, to support our Geospatial Inquiry.

Point out **the crux of Geospatial Inquiry is purpose**. If you are clicking buttons in ArcGIS Online without purpose, you are not engaging in Geospatial Inquiry.

Explain that this idea of Geospatial Inquiry will make much more sense after they experience it as an Adult Learner. During Sessions 1-4, they will engage in an example Geospatial Inquiry lesson that can serve as a model for a Geospatial Inquiry lesson they will design for their own instruction. It will be very structured at first, then allow freedom of choice.

6. Participant Expectations (15 minutes)

Ask participants to take a moment to note their expectations from participating in a POD Teacher Workshop, now that they have a sense of the components. Have them turn to a neighbor and share.

Come back as a whole group and create/chart list of expectations teachers have for the Workshop.

Facilitation Tip:

Keep participant expectations posted so you can return to them periodically. Make every attempt to meet expectations while keeping the integrity of the Workshop intact.

1 ADMINISTER GST PRE WORKSHOP PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT (30 MINUTES)

Explain to participants that the GST Performance Assessment is part of the POD project team research and that “it’s okay” if they feel they do not know the answers. That is why they are taking this workshop!

Explain that in this Workshop, we are using a special kind of ArcGIS Online account, called an Organization account. These Organization accounts allow for more powerful analyses and are free for teachers. Everyone has been invited to the POD Organization account, and will need to log in to ArcGIS Online using the POD login to complete the performance assessment.

Let teachers know you will explain how they can obtain Organization accounts for their schools in Session 5.

The GST Performance Assessment lives in the PodDocs Folder in the ArcGIS Online POD ORG. This folder is accessible by all.



Demonstrate how to access the GST Performance Assessment in the POD ORG. Explain the process for completing and saving the assessment in the ORG.

*Once logged in, they will access the assessment, complete it, and save their maps as directed, with the following name **PrePerf<your POD user name>** (e.g.: PrePerfPOD3201) and will not share their maps. DIRECTIONS DO NOT SAY TO ADD “PRE” IN FRONT OF THE NAME, BUT PLEASE ASK PARTICIPANTS TO INDICATE IT IS THE PRETEST BY ADDING “PRE” IN FRONT OF THE NAME*

When the teachers are done with the assessment, the web map and Story Map will be saved in their “My Content” section of their individual accounts.

Answer any questions they might have, and write the stop time on the board (allow only 30 minutes)

This page left intentionally blank

1 GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – SESSION 1A

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
--------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------------	---

Summary

The Geospatial Inquiry strand of the POD Workshop is designed for your participants to experience an example of Geospatial Inquiry. The session activities are designed for teachers and not meant to be replicated with students. The purpose of this strand of the Workshop is to model how Geospatial Inquiry can be added to an existing unit of study to support deeper conceptual understanding.

There are 4 parts to the Geospatial Inquiry. All the sessions focus on the theme of disasters and impact. **In Session 1A**, participants work together to answer questions about the relationship between natural hazards, disasters, and risk. Participants first explore the ArcGIS Online interface independently and then are guided through geospatial analysis through a focus on one type of natural hazard, earthquakes. Participants Examine Data on where earthquakes occur and analyze the relationship between earthquake factors (such as depth and magnitude) and areas of concentration for earthquake events.

Goals (by the end of 1B)

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Retrieve and examine geospatial data for a specific **purpose**
- Use ArcGIS Online as a **tool** to explore **patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- **Critically analyze and interpret geospatial data** using Geospatial Analysis Frameworks: *Where Things Are, Areas of Concentration and Examining Most and Least*
- **Creatively select and display appropriate geospatial data** as evidence to support or refute a claim
- Complete one full cycle of Geospatial Inquiry as an adult learner

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**
- Geospatial technologies are **tools** that support Geospatial Inquiry: to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in data.

Outline (165 minutes)

1. Administer Pre Workshop GST Performance Assessment	30 minutes
2. Adult Learner Lens & Role Cards	10 minutes
3. Anchoring Video & Guiding Questions	20 minutes
4. Terminology	20 minutes
5. Formative Assessment	10 minutes
6. Explore ArcGIS Online & Map Notes	30 minutes
7. Examine Geospatial Data	30 minutes
8. Introduce the Geospatial Analysis Framework	5 minutes
9. Ask Questions	10 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- To have accepted their invitation to the POD ORG that Mark Manone sent
- Access to GST Pre-Assessment
<http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=5663e5a1c3054e3bb8dec7e24060b9ba>

As the facilitator you will need:

Copies

- Handout 1A Terminology and Representation (1 per participant)
<http://www.pod-stem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/TG-Handout-1A-Terminology-Representation.pdf>

Other materials

- Anchoring video (see step 4 for details)
- A Map Notes layer showing predicted density of earthquakes (from the formative assessment section) to compare with actual density of earthquakes (from the ESRI data layer). See Examine Geospatial Data, Step 9 for details

Charts

- Guiding Questions (cover until ready to reveal)
- Geospatial Analysis Framework (cover until ready to reveal)
- Write the following on the board and cover:
 - Global earthquakes above 5.7
 - “Earthquakes_Glob5_7” by Esri_TESS
- Summary Table

Facilitation Notes

1. Adult Learner Lens (5 minutes)

Remind participants that the POD Workshops are designed for teachers and not K-12 students. While engaging in the Geospatial Inquiry example, participants should use their adult-learner lens. Ask participants to read the Summary, Disclaimer, and Goals at the beginning of the Geospatial Inquiry in their Teacher Guides, page 1-3 and 1-4 and ask if there are any questions.

2. Role Cards (5 minutes)

Direct Participants to the Role Cards in their baskets (one set per group/table). Explain that for this Session, each person will take on a role to observe and think about the activities. They will switch cards throughout the session so that everyone gets a chance to examine Geospatial Inquiry activities through multiple lenses.

Roles include: Idea exchanger; Big ideas person; Clarifier; Questioner; Skeptic; Progress Monitor.

Facilitation Tip:

This introduction provides the teachers information about WHAT they will be doing, WHY they are doing it and HOW they will go about it.

3. Anchoring Video (15 minutes)

To engage teachers in an exploration of natural hazards, play a clip from a news or educational segment on a natural disaster (*see examples in box*).

Video Examples

Nepal Earthquake 2015 – from 2:15-6:16

<http://abcnews.go.com/International/video/nepal-earthquake-time-running-finding-survivors-30740099>

Big Idea 8: Natural Hazards Affect Humans, American Geosciences Institute. (4:26)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n73qtEojP_Y

Top Ten Natural Disasters, National Geographic (long. Choose segment)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kg-6whkbZXs>

Earthquake Montage, National Geographic

<http://video.nationalgeographic.com/video/earthquake-montage?source=searchvideo>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Au-r91sB1r8>

Devastating Chile Earthquake, National Geographic (1:45)

<http://video.nationalgeographic.com/video/news/chile-earthquake->

Ask participants to consider how often they think about hazards (earthquake, tsunami, etc.). Typically, we think and talk about hazards after a devastating event has occurred. Explain that for some geospatial professionals, their job is to think about natural hazards and disaster before they happen so the damage from the events can be minimized.

This is a good place to make a connection to any local hazards that are relevant to the group.

“Throughout this Workshop, we will explore some of the technologies that STEM professionals use to investigate the likelihood of hazards and disasters (such as the ones from the videos).”

4. Guiding Questions (5 minutes)

Uncover the Guiding Questions poster and refer participants to the Guiding Questions listed in their Teacher Guide on page 1-4

GUIDING QUESTIONS

Which regions of the world are most at risk of experiencing natural disaster?

- i. How can geospatial data be used to help explain where and why natural hazards occur?
- ii. What patterns and relationships in geospatial data indicate high risk of disaster?
- iii. How can geospatial data and tools be used as evidence to communicate risk?

Ask participants to take 1 minute to read over the questions and then ask them to share their thoughts/ideas. Explain that we will engage in Geospatial Inquiry as learners to determine the answers to these questions.

5. Terminology (20 minutes)

Explain that the Guiding Questions refer to the terms *Hazards*, *Disaster* and *Risk* but these terms may not mean the same thing to all of us. In order to share a common understanding of what these terms mean, we are going to examine different ways the terms are represented and defined. First, we will each have a chance to share our own ideas about what these terms mean and then we will compare our ideas to 3 different representations/definitions for the terms.

Facilitation Tip:

Keep the Guiding Questions chart posted where everyone can see it and refer back to it throughout the workshop. This helps to anchor participants' learning.

Ask participants to take a few minutes individually to complete the Quick Write in their Teacher Guide.

Explain what the following terms mean to you:

- Natural Hazard
- Disaster
- Risk

After participants have been able to make a record of their ideas about each of the terms (on their teacher guide) ask them to “Turn and Talk” with someone sitting near them.

Distribute Handout 1A Terminology and Representation. Ask partners to discuss the questions in Terminology and Representation in their Teacher Guides.



Briefly share-out with the whole group.

“What do our explanations, representations and those on the handout have in common? How are they different?”

In the group discussion, focus on similarities as a means to come to an agreement on what the terms will mean for the purpose of the geospatial inquiry. For example: *“It sounds like one similarity we’ve noticed is that a disaster involves some type of damage to both the natural and human-built environments. This could mean that when we are considering risk of disaster (from our guiding questions) we will need to know about both the natural and social systems involved.”*

6. Formative Assessment (10 minutes)

Say that now the group has a common understanding of the meaning of *risk* and *disaster* we can each share our thinking about which areas of the world are most at risk for a particular kind of disaster – seismic disaster. Remind them that exploring seismic disaster together will serve as an example for other natural disasters they may want to explore.

Direct participants to their [Teacher Guide](#) and ask them to write their ideas about areas at risk for seismic disaster in the space provided. Explain that this formative self-assessment is a way for each individual to keep track of their own thinking about earthquake disasters and monitor if and how that thinking changes throughout the learning sessions.

7. Explore ArcGIS Online & Map Notes (30 minutes)

Explain we will engage in a Geospatial Inquiry for the **PURPOSE** of answering our guiding questions and evaluating our predictions about areas are most at risk for seismic disaster. The tool that we will use to gather and analyze data is called ArcGIS Online.

Facilitation Tip:

The exploration of ArcGIS Online and Map Notes allows participants to explore the program at their own pace – some participants may use the majority of the time exploring and getting to know the tool.

Explain a key feature of ArcGIS Online is that it is a web application and does not require software. All you need is an internet browser and you can access, gather and analyze geospatial data. In a minute, you will have a chance to use this tool (ArcGIS Online) to make a prediction about what areas of the world have the highest likelihood of experiencing seismic disaster.

Facilitation Tip:

Use examples such as CIS=computer information systems; BIS=Business Information Systems; MIS=Management Information Systems. Make the point that “information systems” implies data and analysis as it relates to computer, business and management. GIS is simply the data and the analysis that relates to geographic locations

Explain the “GIS” in ArcGIS Online stands for “Geographic Information System”.

Ask: What are some other geographic information systems you use or are familiar with? *Your cell phone has a mapping application that uses GIS; Google Maps; Google Earth; car navigation systems*

Explain that in a moment you will demonstrate how to log-in to ArcGIS Online and guide the group through some basic features they can explore and demonstrate how to use the Map Notes tool, which they can use to record their ideas spatially, by annotating a map.

Facilitation Tip:

Car navigation systems are often misnamed as GPS. GPS is an abbreviation for Global Positioning Systems, which only provide your location on Earth using satellites. The navigation system in your car that includes maps is actually a GIS!

Note that ArcGIS Online can be accessed with no log-in, or with a public log-in, but not all features will be accessible in these types of accounts. All exercises in this Workshop require participants to be logged in to the POD Organization in order to access the most robust features of the tool. Remind them you will show them how to access free Organization accounts for their schools in Session 5.

Explain to participants that the activity is Part 1 of the exploration in their Teacher Guides, page 1-8. Show them where to access this exploration. Explain that ArcGIS Online Tasks are also listed at the front of the Teacher Guides on ArcGIS Online Task Cards. Show them where to find the ArcGIS Online Task Cards.



DEMONSTRATE Task #1: Log-in and Explore ArcGIS Online



DEMONSTRATE: Task #2 Create Map Notes Layer (Use Map Notes to make a prediction)

Facilitation Tip:

Ask participants to look up at the main screen during the demonstrations. They will have time to explore independently next.

Explain to participants that they will have 5 minutes to first Explore ArcGIS Online and then 10 more minutes to make their Map Notes predictions. Refer participants to their Teacher Guides for step-by-step instructions.

Write the stop time on the board.



Share-out: Briefly share about individual experiences exploring ArcGIS Online and making Map Notes.

Pose the question: *“If you were asked to evaluate the ideas in your Map Notes, what information would you want to know and what data would you want to acquire?”*

Direct participants to their Teacher Guides and ask them to make a few notes in the chart on page 1-8.

Facilitation Tip:

Remind participants to add their initials to the end of their Map Notes layer when they save it and share it with the ORG so they can identify which prediction is theirs.

Write a 2-minute stop time on the board. Ask group to share ideas and chart them, or create a Google Doc of ideas. You will revisit this chart in Session 3, so be sure to save it.

SAMPLE CHART

What we need to know	Data we want to acquire and explore?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location, magnitude, frequency, depth of past earthquakes • Proximity of humans and natural resources to earthquakes • Damage to property, lives lost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USGS earthquake dataset • Population data • Infrastructure data • Land use data

8. Examine Geospatial Data (30 minutes)

Explain now that we have a **PURPOSE** (looking for areas at risk of seismic disaster), we can begin our Geospatial Inquiry and Examine Geospatial Data. This is the first step toward answering our guiding questions (move the arrow on the Geospatial Inquiry poster).

Explain to participants that they have the next 5 minutes to look for and explore different data layers about earthquakes (using their notes from the chart in their Teacher Guide).

Refer participants to the instructions in their ArcGIS Online Task Cards for “Task #3: Add a Data Layer”. Emphasize that this is a time for independent exploration and you will demonstrate the process step-by-step next.



Share Out/Discuss: There are many possibilities for data related to earthquakes that participants could have searched for and selected. Allow participants a few minutes to discuss and debrief their process of searching for data layers.

Facilitation Tip:

If you have a volunteer participant willing to share their Map Notes, open their saved layer and use it as part of the share-out discussion. Or use the one you prepared.

Ask: What type of data layers did you search for? After searching for a particular type of data, you likely noticed there were many options available for any one search term. How did you determine which one to select?”

** Let participants know it’s “ok” if they did not get very far in their searches. Some may have searched for and added several data layers and others are still just exploring the interface.*

Explain that we all examined different data layers but now we will all look at the same data layer.

“I will demonstrate the process of searching for and adding a data layer about earthquakes, specifically the location of earthquakes around the world. First watch as I demonstrate and then you will have time to add and explore the layer on your own.”



DEMONSTRATE Task #3: Add a Data Layer (Earthquakes)

Point out we are concerned about seismic disaster, but there is likely a lot of data about all magnitude of earthquakes available. Ask the group to identify what magnitude earthquake would likely result in seismic disaster to help us narrow our search.

Facilitation Tip:

This is a good time to make the linkage to the “table” or “database” behind the symbol.

DEMONSTRATE how to search for “Global earthquakes above 5.7” in ArcGIS Online.

Uncover the chart: “Global earthquakes above 5.7” and “EarthquakesGlob_57 by Esri_TESS”

DEMONSTRATE how to select the EarthquakesGlob_57 layer by Esri_TESS, and add it to the map.



DEMONSTRATE: Task #5: display the data table. Show how selecting an item in the table also highlights the feature on the map

- Sort magnitude by descending to see the highest magnitude earthquakes to lowest

Explain that the power of ArcGIS Online is its capacity to enhance our natural abilities to **display patterns and relationships** in geospatial data. Ask participants to spend a few minutes exploring this layer and looking for patterns.



After 3-5 minutes of exploration – ask participants to share the patterns in data that they noticed with a partner or their small group. “What questions do you have after examining the data?” *Where are the most high magnitude earthquakes occurring? Why are they occurring in these locations? What is nearby these locations?*

9. Introduce the Geospatial Analysis Framework (5 minutes)

Point out they may have noticed several different patterns and relationships in the earthquake data, but as humans, our abilities are limited. That’s where geospatial technology tools, like ArcGIS online can help. The analysis capabilities of the tool are so powerful, we may not be sure where to start or where else to look.

Refer participants to the Geospatial Analysis Framework poster and in their Teacher Guides, page 1-10.

Explain that we can use this Framework to help us think about ways to explore geospatial data in ArcGIS Online.

Geospatial Analysis Framework

1. Examining where things are
2. Examining most and least
3. Finding areas of concentration
4. Finding what’s inside
5. Finding what’s nearby

6. Examining change over time

Ask the group to identify patterns they have explored thus far and compare to the Framework. They have likely noticed patterns in where earthquakes occur (where things are). They might also want to explore areas where earthquakes are concentrated (areas of concentration), and examine where the most earthquakes occur and where the least earthquakes occur (finding most and least). Throughout our investigation, we'll want to consider what's nearby to better assess risk.

Some of these patterns are easy to see, and some are not as visible. There is a tool in ArcGIS Online that can help us to better **visualize** these **patterns** in the data, for example, density. Ask participants to follow the directions in their [Teacher Guide](#) page 1-11 to Analyze Areas of Concentration (Task #4). Encourage participants to first work through the steps with a partner. Explain you will demonstrate the process for the whole group next.



Invite partners and small groups to discuss the areas of concentration. After a few minutes of partner exploration, demonstrate and discuss the areas of concentration. Ask: Does this layer illuminate patterns unseen in the point layer? Any surprises? Where is the concentration in relation to the data used? Can one draw information more quickly and more clearly about the data now that the patterns are represented in this way? Is there a trend to the data?

(Ignore the numbers – they are statistical analyses. High density off the east coast of China and islands around Australia, Indonesia, west coast of South America (ring of fire area).



DEMONSTRATE Task #4: Analyze Areas of Concentration (Density)

DEMONSTRATE how to compare a Map Notes layer showing predicted density (from the formative assessment section) with actual density (from the Esri data layer). **You may choose to add the Map Notes layer you created during your Map Notes demonstration or have a pre-created layer with more detail ready to add*

(see Task #3, Add a Data Layer for guidance). Be sure to zoom out to the full extent of the World so your density map shows the larger area.

10. Ask Questions (10 minutes)

As they examined areas of concentration in the data, it likely brought up additional questions. ASK QUESTIONS is the second step in the Geospatial Inquiry Cycle.

Prompt participants to record other questions that came up for them as they examined the data in their Teacher Guides, page 1-11 and share them with the group.

Keep a record of the questions on chart paper or type them into a Google Doc that you share with the group. If no one brings up the plate boundaries, ask questions to guide them to this idea.

Explain to participants that later in the second part of our Geospatial Inquiry Session (Session 1B), we will acquire additional data layers to help us answer some of the questions.

1 PEDAGOGICAL MOVES TO SUPPORT GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY - INTRODUCTION TO ACADEMICALLY PRODUCTIVE TALK

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
--------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

Summary

Academically productive talk (discourse) is introduced. Participants consider the importance of talk to support Geospatial Inquiry, and four types of discussions as they are related to the steps of Geospatial Inquiry. We utilize videos and readings from Talk Science, an NSF-funded program by TERC.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Consider why academically productive **talk between learners** is critical for supporting Geospatial Inquiry
- Consider the relationship between the **four types of discussions** and the steps of **Geospatial Inquiry**
- Discuss how **structures** for academically productive talk can **support Geospatial Inquiry**

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas with others, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse (talk).

Geospatial Inquiry employs technological and communication **scaffolds** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.

Outline (35 minutes)

1. Set the stage: Excerpt from High School Students Say Student Led Discussions and Group Work Often Go Awry	5 minutes
2. Introduce 21 st century skills aligned with Geospatial Inquiry cycle	5 minutes
3. Consider Geospatial Inquiry phases in multiple disciplines	5 minutes
4. Read Talk Science Primer, react, share	20 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

Copies

- (one per participant) of TERC Talk Science Primer (3 hole punched for easy storage in binders):
https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/shared/pd/TalkScience_Primer.pdf - Digital access is also an option.
Hard copies are recommended in order to use the strategy provided for discussing the article. See step 4 for other options.

Facilitation Tip:

If you are making hard copies, be sure teachers keep them for Session 3.

Facilitation Notes

Explain to participants this component of the POD Teacher Workshop focuses on teaching strategies, methods and practices to support Geospatial Inquiry so they should be viewing this session through the Teacher Lens.

Refer participants to [Teacher Guide](#), Pedagogical Moves, Session 1. Invite them to read the summary and goals for the session on page 1-17.

1. Read excerpt from High School Students Say Student Led Discussions and Group Work Often Go Awry (5 minutes)

Ask participants to read the excerpt from Education Week blog: *High School Students Say Student Led Discussions and Group Work Often Go Awry*.



Encourage small groups to discuss the blog post. After a few minutes, ask – “Why do you think students are dissatisfied? What supports could have been in place to better structure the work?”


Your goal here is for teachers to see that collaboration and discourse don’t just happen. We need to plan for it and provide scaffolds that support it.

Teachers must structure the socially constructed learning that is essential to effective Geospatial Inquiry.

2. Introduce 21st century skills as aligned with Geospatial Inquiry (5 minutes)

Explain that when implementing Geospatial Inquiry, collaboration and communication, both 21st century skills, are critical. Refer participants to the *Geospatial Inquiry Graphic with 21st century skills* in [Teacher Guide](#), Pedagogical Moves, Session 1, page 1-20. Provide a few minutes for review, then explain this is how POD sees 21st century skills fitting in with the steps of Geospatial Inquiry. Notice that Collaboration is throughout, because students construct their knowledge together. Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Teacher Guide



Ask: “So, how do you encourage students to collaborate and avoid student frustration? We’ll explore this further using materials from TERC’s Talk Science Primer.”

Acknowledge this approach is science-focused, but the core practices with which we want students to engage work across disciplines. Explain in the next step you will look at the commonalities across disciplines.

3. Consider Geospatial Inquiry phases in multiple disciplines (5 minutes)

Ask participants to view the chart comparing Geospatial Inquiry with core practices in multiple disciplines in [Teacher Guide Introduction, How Does Geospatial Inquiry align with Practices across Disciplines?](#), page xvii.

Teacher Guide Intro: How Does Geospatial Inquiry align with Practices across Disciplines

Facilitation Tip:

Facilitation Tip: If you choose not to provide copies, you might use a different strategy to encourage discussion about the reading. See

<http://www.thinkingcollaborative.com/strategies/> for ideas.

Geospatial Inquiry Phases Aligned with Core Practices in Multiple Disciplines

Science	ELA/Literacy	History	Geography	Geospatial Inquiry Phase
Ask questions about natural phenomena set in context of core disciplinary ideas		Access prior knowledge about an investigation via examination ...	Pose geographic questions	Examine Geospatial Data Ask Questions

Point out that the last column outlines the phases of Geospatial Inquiry.



After teachers have had a few minutes to review, invite them to discuss in small groups: “Where in a Geospatial Inquiry might there be opportunities for collaboration? In other words, where might students need to talk with others to make sense of information?”

After some sharing, remind them that these conversations are crucial and that they require structure to avoid the frustration students expressed in the blog post.

Explain that now that they have had a chance to explore potential connections between core practices in multiple disciplines and the need for structured collaboration and student discussions, we can go a bit deeper with the Talk Science Primer.

4. Read about academically productive talk, react, share (20 minutes)

Distribute copies or ask participants to access the Talk Science Primer at https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/shared/pd/TalkScience_Primer.pdf

Invite them to read pages 1-6 (Part 1: What is Academically Productive Talk and Part 2: Why is Talk Important?).

Invite them to use the following annotation strategy (also listed in Teacher Guide, page 1-23) as they read and be prepared to share what they highlighted and why with a partner or small group.

Annotation Mark	Meaning
!	Surprised me
?	Prompted a question for me
✓	Confirmed something I knew



Ask participants to share with a partner or small group what they highlighted and why. As a whole group, invite participants to share ideas they discussed.

Ensure the following ideas have been discussed, or bring them up:

- Academically productive talk **supports reasoning** and **deepens student understanding** of complex material.
- Teachers must identify **clear academic purposes** for discussions.
- Teachers must provide **structures** to support academically productive talk.


Remind participants of the blog they read about student dissatisfaction with unproductive discussions.

Pose the question: “How might the elements of, and structures for, academically productive talk support student led discussions and collaborative work?” Allow for some sharing.

Explain that we are going to come back to this and consider the connection between academically productive talk and Geospatial Inquiry during Metacognition at the end of the Session*. Let teachers know that over the next four Pedagogical Moves sessions we will delve deeper into specifics and

view some classroom videos to help us consider what academically productive talk might look like in action. Ask teachers to save the copies of the Talk Science Primer for later sessions.

**During Metacognition at the end of Session 1, participants are explicitly asked to consider the relationship between the four types of discussions and Geospatial Inquiry. They are asked to provide examples. Here is an example of how we see the four types of discussion aligning with stages in Geospatial Inquiry:*



This page intentionally left blank

1 GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – SESSION 1B

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
--------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Summary

Participants continue to focus on earthquake hazards and risk of seismic disaster. Participants **Examine Data** on where earthquakes occur and **analyze the relationship** between earthquake factors (such as depth and magnitude) and areas of concentration for earthquake events.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Retrieve and examine geospatial data for a specific **purpose**
- Use ArcGIS Online as a **tool** to explore **patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- **Critically analyze and interpret** geospatial data using Geospatial Analysis Frameworks: *Where Things Are*, *Areas of Concentration* and *Examining Most and Least*
- **Creatively** select and display appropriate geospatial data as evidence to support or refute a claim
- Complete one full cycle of Geospatial Inquiry as an adult learner

Facilitation Tip:

If you have a volunteer participant willing to share their Map Notes, open their saved layer and use it as part of the share-out discussion.

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**
- Geospatial technologies are **tools** that support Geospatial Inquiry: to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in data.

Outline (110 minutes)

1. Acquire & Analyze Additional Data	30 minutes
2. Argue from Evidence	60 minutes
3. Summary Table	20 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

Charts


- Guiding Questions
- Geospatial Analysis Framework
- Summary Table from Session 1A
- List of Questions from Session 1A

Facilitation Notes

1. Acquire and Analyze Additional Data (part 1) (30 minutes)

Remind teachers that in Session 1A, they examined areas of concentration for earthquakes and asked questions about these patterns. (*Refer to the chart or Google Doc with the list of questions*).

In order to answer these questions, we will acquire and analyze more data.

 Move the arrow on the Geospatial Inquiry poster between Acquire Data and Analyze and Interpret Data.

Acquire Additional Data and Analyze and Interpret Data are the third and fourth steps in the Geospatial Inquiry Cycle.

“Although Geospatial Inquiry is a cycle it does not always follow a step by step progression. In this part of our session, we will be going back and forth between **Acquiring Data** and **Analyzing and Interpreting Data** before moving on to develop an Argument.”

Explain that you have prepared a data layer to examine that may provide insights about the areas of concentration.

Direct participants to their [Teacher Guide](#), page 1-12 and the Acquire & Analyze Additional Data section of the Geospatial Inquiry.

Ask participants to work with a partner to add the layer showing plate boundaries and their motion and then use the discussion questions at the bottom of the page to guide their discussion.



Share out as a whole group: Debrief from the partner discussion the patterns and relationships discussed.

2. Argue from Evidence(60 minutes)

“We have examined and discussed several characteristics of earthquakes and factors related to earthquake events. Now you will have the chance to consider other factors/characteristics related to earthquakes that you think are important to our understanding of where and why earthquakes occur.”

Explain to participants that they will work together in teams to gather and analyze data which illuminate the relationship between different characteristics of earthquake and factors related to their occurrence, frequency and severity. Refer participants to their Teacher Guide page 1-14 for a list of possible factors/characteristics they could evaluate but encourage participants to be creative and consider other factors or characteristics of earthquakes they think are important.

NOTE: This is a time for groups to explore and investigate some of their ideas about earthquakes. If misconceptions arise (such as weather influencing earthquake frequency), consider it an opportunity to use your learner’s ideas as resources. Write down their ideas in a visible location on chart paper. Ask other participants to share their thinking about the ideas, not the person who raised the ideas (*Do you agree or disagree with these ideas and why?*). You may also choose to direct participants to their Science Review, then return to and revise their original explanations.

Refer participants to the *Possible Factors to Examine* box in their Teacher Guide, page 1-14 to find more data to support their claim.

Possible Factors/Characteristics to Analyze:

- Earthquake Magnitude
- Earthquake Depth
- Type of Plate Materials (oceanic crust, continental, or both)
- Type of Plate Interaction (Convergent, Divergent or Transform)
- Velocity of Plate Motion

Possible Claims

- There is no relationship between these factors
- There is a direct relationship between these factors
- There is an inverse relationship between these factors
- The relationship of _____ and _____ is _____

**Encourage groups to acquire and analyze different representations of data (including maps, bar graphs, scatter plots, etc.) to examine the relationship of different factors.*

3. Summary Table (20 minutes)

Model how to record what we learned so far about where earthquakes occur and how this information might help the class determine the relative risk of an earthquake disaster in a particular region on the Summary Table. Encourage participants to record these ideas on their summary tables in their Teacher Guides.

*Be sure to note Geospatial Analyses Framework: where things are, areas of concentration, most and least in the summary table.

SAMPLE SUMMARY TABLE

Activity	What we learned	How we learned it	How does this help us answer the guiding questions?
Examine Data on Past Earthquake Events	Most EQs occur along plate boundaries or in regions near plate boundaries.	Examining where things are, areas of concentration (density), most and least.	If a region is on or near a plate boundary it should be prepared for an EQ disaster including planning for evacuation, building codes, and informing the public about what to do in an emergency.
Examine Data on Tectonic Plates	Plates converge, diverge, and horizontally past each other	Examining a map of relative plate motion at plate boundaries	Different types of plate boundaries have different sizes of earthquakes. Knowing the type of plate boundary informs disaster planners of possible earthquake sizes.
Analyze relationships between earthquake factors (depth, magnitude, & proximity to plate boundary)			

Facilitation Tip:

As you complete the summary table, refer to the steps in the Geospatial Inquiry and the geospatial analyses that helped you to come to these conclusions in the How We Learned It column.

Encourage participants to fill in their own charts on their summary tables in their teacher guides.

SAVE THE SUMMARY TABLE. YOU WILL REFER BACK TO AND ADD INFORMATION TO THE SUMMARY TABLE THROUGHOUT THIS SESSION.

This summary table is an important way to promote metacognition and keep the focus on the end goals. It also emphasizes Principle 1: Geospatial Inquiry is used for a **purpose**: to provide relevant, engaging, authentic learning experiences through the process of answering a question, solving a problem, or explaining a phenomenon.

1 METACOGNITION, EVALUATION, HOMEWORK

Intro to POD	Geospatial Inquiry (Part A)	Pedagogical Moves	Geospatial Inquiry (Part B)	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
--------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	---

Summary

Participants review learning from the session and consider their own learning experiences and how these experiences might influence their classroom practices. Finally, they provide some informal feedback to the facilitators and learn of the homework assignment.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **reflective practice**:

- Review Science and Geospatial Technology learning from the session
- Contemplate how Geospatial Inquiry enhanced individual learning
- Consider ways to use Geospatial Inquiry in a classroom to enhance student learning

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.
- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose** (embedded in Metacognition questions)
- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills** (embedded in Metacognition questions)

Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework, Session 1 46

Outline (20 minutes)

1. Intro - What is metacognition?	5 minutes
2. Individual work time	10 minutes
3. Homework, informal evaluation, preparation for next session	5 minutes

Preparation and logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

A plan for collecting feedback from participants. See Facilitator Community > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > [Informal Evaluation/Feedback Ideas](#).

<https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2>

Facilitation Notes

1. What is metacognition? (5 minutes)

Explain what metacognition is: awareness of one's own thinking and learning processes, or "thinking about thinking".

"Each session, we will encourage you to think about what you learned and to process that learning for yourself. We have provided some questions to guide that thinking, a Science Session Review to summarize what we learned, and a Geospatial Technology Session Review to recap the geospatial technology skills

and analyses we used in the session. We also encourage you to think about classroom applications.”

2. Individual work time (10 minutes)


Show participants where they can access the Metacognition questions and Session Reviews in their Teacher Guides.

- Science Session Review – Session 1
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 1
- Metacognition
 - Focus on Geospatial Analysis Frameworks
 - Focus on Four Types of Discussions

Facilitation Tip:

Participants are explicitly asked to consider the relationship between the four types of discussions introduced in Talk Science and their connection to Geospatial Inquiry. They are asked to provide examples. If you have time, have the group share their ideas on this.

Here is an example of how we see the four types of discussion aligning with stages in Geospatial Inquiry:



3. Homework, informal evaluation, preparation for next session (5 minutes)

The purpose of informal evaluation is for you to gather feedback on the session to make adjustments as necessary for the next session. Ask participants to complete your informal evaluation task and invite them to read and review the following for homework.

- Teacher Guide, Introduction to the Power of Data

Explain in Session 2, we will brainstorm concepts that can be enhanced with Geospatial Inquiry. To get some ideas, invite teachers to explore the following Instructional Materials shared by Esri. Choices include:

<http://www.esri.com/connected> > Instructional Materials >

- Advanced Environmental Science
- Grade 4 Interdisciplinary
- US History
- Earth Science
- Human Geography
- Mapping Our World
- Thinking Spatially Using GIS

SESSION 2 FACILITATION NOTES FOR POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

Session 2 at a Glance – 7 hours

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
15 minutes	250 minutes	75 minutes	40 minutes	40 minutes

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is begeospatial

This page left intentionally blank

2 WELCOME – SESSION 2

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

The Welcome is intended to welcome participants to learn, respond to the prior session's informal evaluation, answer questions about the Science and Geospatial Technology Session Reviews, explain the agenda for Session 2 and set a focus for the group's learning.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Hear group feedback from the prior session's informal evaluation and revisit working agreements as needed
- Ask questions about homework or prior session and draw upon these ideas to **construct meaning together** through **academically productive discourse** (talk)
- Preview the agenda for Session 2 and set the foci for this session's learning:
 - Use ArcGIS Online as a **tool** to **critically analyze and interpret patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
 - Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. Learning builds from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.

Outline (15 minutes)

1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 1 and answer questions from homework	10 minutes
2. Preview agenda for Session 2 and foci	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As **facilitator**, you will need:

- Reflections from informal evaluation – organized by highlights and areas of confusion so you can share with the participants
- Introduction to the Power of Data (as a reference)
- Science Session Review – Session 1 (as a reference)
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 1 (as a reference)
- Session 2 Agenda and goals

Facilitation Tip:

Invite participants to sit in a new seat and exchange Role Cards to experience a new Role for this Session. This encourages participants to hear and consider new perspectives.

Charts

- ArcGIS Online is a **tool to critically analyze and interpret patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse (talk).

Facilitation Notes

1. Review feedback received from Session 1.

Address concerns.

2. Ask and answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings and/or Homework.

Explain we will go into depth on the instructional materials during Designing a Geospatial Inquiry.

3. Introduce the Session 2 Agenda and Foci

Give a brief preview of the activities. Explain that the foci for the session are the following two principles upon which Geospatial Inquiry was conceived.

Display the chart:

- ArcGIS Online is a **tool to critically analyze and interpret patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse (talk).

Explain during Metacognition, they will be asked to think about how these principles enhanced their learning.

This page left intentionally blank

2 GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – SESSION 2

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

Participants continue to examine the relationship between earthquake characteristics and factors and refine their claims from Session 1B. Working with a partner, participants ACQUIRE ADDITIONAL DATA to determine if it supports their claim. They learn how to ACQUIRE additional data from external sources and ANALYZE the data in ArcGIS Online. Finally, partners present their ARGUMENT for peer-feedback using the ArcGIS Online presentation tool.

GOALS

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Retrieve and examine geospatial data for a specific **purpose**
- Use ArcGIS Online as a **tool** to **critically analyze and interpret patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- **Creatively** select and display appropriate geospatial data to serve as visual **evidence for written arguments**
- **Communicate** ideas and engage in **collaborative**, academically productive talk to deepen conceptual understanding for all learners
- Complete a full cycle of Geospatial Inquiry as an adult learner

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial technologies are **tools** which enhance the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual evidence** to support written arguments.
- Geospatial Inquiry **promotes cross-disciplinary practices and 21st century skills**
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative** and **sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas

Outline (250 minutes)

1. Argue from evidence; Acquire and analyze new data	70 minutes
2. Symbolize & Display Patterns and Relationships; Prepare presentations	110 minutes
3. Peer Feedback	50 minutes
4. Summary Table	20 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

- Your participants will need to download data from USGS. See Step 3 for details.
- We suggest a one-hour break prior to presentations, feedback, and processing.

Facilitation Notes

1. Argue from evidence; Acquire and analyze new data (70 minutes)

Invite participants to refer to the summary table, goals and guiding questions in their [Teacher Guide](#) page 2-4.

Remind everyone the guiding questions provide a **PURPOSE** for our Geospatial Inquiry. Let them know that in this session we will focus primarily on the bolded questions:

- **How can we predict if an area is at high risk for natural disaster?**
 - **How can geospatial data be used to help explain where and why natural hazards occur?**
 - What patterns and relationships in geospatial data indicate high risk of disaster?
 - How can geospatial data and tools be used as evidence to communicate risk?

Explain our **PURPOSE** for this Geospatial Inquiry session is to develop an argument using geospatial evidence about the relationship between the earthquake characteristics and factors they examined in Session 1B. Ask participants to summarize what they examined in 1B. Invite participants to work with a partner to use their analysis from 1B to make a claim.

**You may also suggest the participants group together based on the characteristics in their claim (e.g. if focused on magnitude/depth or plate boundary/magnitude)*

Explain to participants that they should share their claim with their partner. Then, they may choose one claim to work on or modify their claim to fit both of their interests.

Refer participants to their [Teacher Guide](#) and the Argue from Evidence section, page 2-5. Ask participants to use the guiding prompts to help refine their claim with reasoning.

Explain that after partners have been able to refine their claim in writing, their next task is to Acquire and Analyze additional data to see if it supports their claim.

Facilitation Tip:

Remind participants to use their role cards.

Refer participants to their [Teacher Guide](#) page 2-6, for instructions on how to add earthquake data from USGS. On this site, participants can choose time period, location, magnitude range and many other factors to download in a spreadsheet and then upload to ArcGIS Online. ****Remind participants that ArcGIS Online can only display 1000 points, so they should plan accordingly****

They will explore the USGS earthquake Hazards program data to see if the patterns they identified in the 1997-2007 data layer examined in Session 1 are consistent with patterns in this recent earthquake data.

For each new set of data they upload, ask them to consider if this data supports their claim.

2. Symbolize and Display Patterns & Relationships; Analyze and Interpret Patterns in Data; Prepare a Presentation (110 minutes)

Bring the group together. Ask participants to describe how the data they have acquired so far has been presented visually (coded by color, shape, etc.). Explain they will now have the chance to explore some different ways to symbolize and display the data they have been examining to determine if the data is displayed in a way that supports or refutes their claims.

Ask: How was the data you examined displayed? What do the symbols represent? Ask for some examples. Why do you think the data was displayed in

this way? What is the value in displaying data in different ways? *Symbolizing data in particular ways can make it easier to identify patterns and relationships.*

Refer participants to their [Teacher Guide](#) and the Symbolize and Display Patterns and Relationships section, page 2-7 and ArcGIS Online Task Card #5 and #6. Explain these are ways they might use ArcGIS Online to display data for examining patterns and relationships. They should choose the best way to display and symbolize data for the claim they are making. Explain you will demonstrate a few of the tools. They should not follow along but rather should watch. They have these instructions on their ArcGIS Online Task Cards and can complete them soon.



DEMONSTRATE Task #5: Table Functions, Symbolizing Data and Thematic Mapping



DEMONSTRATE Task #6: Find Nearest and Find Average Distance

Ask participants to work with a partner, making notes about themes and patterns they notice as they continue to analyze and interpret data to see if it supports their claim.

Invite participants to share what claim they are investigating, the data they have explored thus far, and what their process has been for getting data. *Our claim is X, we downloaded a csv file Y, and then represented it this way. We are still working on Z.*

Explain they are now at a point where they can support or refine their claims but will also need to decide how to present it to others. They will need to decide how to communicate the patterns in the data in a visual way. They can use different analyses and symbolization to aid in this.

Facilitation Tip:

Encourage participants to have their maps and layers prepared before making presentations.



DEMONSTRATE Task #5: Table Functions, Symbolizing Data and Thematic Mapping

Specifically, show how to Change Styles (Symbology) - Choose which attribute to show and select a drawing style. Smart mapping will help guide the process. Also demonstrate how to display the same layer in different ways.

Remind participants of the Geospatial Analysis Framework and encourage them to consider other analyses they might want to utilize when supporting their claims (page 2-8). They will have 65 minutes to work. Answer any questions and write the stop time on the board.

Their task is to **PREPARE a PRESENTATION** of their refined CLAIM, supported by EVIDENCE about patterns and relationships in data sets and REASONING why these patterns exist.

Each team will present to another team for peer feedback. Each presentation should be no more than 2 minutes long.

Tell them they have about 100 minutes (based on how long the demonstration took). Ask what questions they might have about the task at hand, remind participants to use their role cards, and write the stop time on the board.

Facilitation Tip:

This is a good place to take a break. If you are following our agenda, we insert a one-hour lunch here, then present after lunch.

3. Peer Feedback (50 minutes)

Direct participants to pair up with two other teams. Each team must have an opportunity to present, receive feedback, and consider how they might revise their presentations based on that feedback.

Refer participants to Peer Feedback in their Teacher Guides, page 2-10. Invite teachers to take notes during the presentations on sticky notes and place on the chart. Each of the quadrants is a place to provide feedback on the following:

1. ____ was interesting (!)
2. ____ raised a question or was unclear (?)
3. ____ extended findings that we discussed about ____ (+)
4. ____ was in contrast to the findings that we discussed about ____ (-)

Emphasize that feedback should focus on the **content of the presentation and not the presenters**. Also explain that they will use their feedback comments to construct the key points (what we learned) for the summary table.

Explain they will have 30 minutes total. Ask for questions and write the stop time on the board.



(20 minutes) Facilitate a brief share-out/discussion of the peer feedback process. Ask participants how they might revise their presentations based on peer feedback. After all groups have presented, discuss the feedback comments. Through a discussion with the group, address any points that were raised that were unclear or drew from misconceptions.

Re-emphasize that our exploration of “why” earthquakes occur is only a brief introduction into plate tectonics and the goal of this session is to experience how to use Geospatial Inquiry to ENHANCE science learning concepts. Say something like: “Geospatial Inquiry is designed to enhance existing lessons. There are many concepts that cannot be taught through Geospatial Inquiry alone.” Ask: “What information about seismic hazards were we unable to explain through the Geospatial Inquiry activities we have completed thus far?” *Why seismic hazards occur - plate tectonics.*

Explain there are many junctures where more information is going to be needed. These are jumping off places for deeper lessons into the concepts addressed.” Ask for participant input on ideas to go deeper. *Science labs, readings, lectures, field trips, demonstrations, etc.*

Facilitation Tip:

If misconceptions arise, consider it an opportunity to use your learner’s ideas as resources. Write down their ideas in a visible location on chart paper. Ask other participants to share their thinking about the ideas, not the person who raised the ideas (*Do you agree or disagree with these ideas and why?*). You may also choose to direct participants to their Science Notes, then return to and revise their original explanations at a later time.

Based on the presentations and arguments, direct participants to work in small groups to complete the summary table for this Session on the chart in their Teacher Guides, page 2-11. Briefly share out and record ideas on the group chart.

4. Sample Summary Table (20 minutes)

Activity	What we learned	How we learned it	How does this help us answer the guiding questions?
Where do EQs occur?	Most large EQs occur along convergent or continental transform plate boundaries.		If a region is near one of these types of plate boundaries it should be prepared for an EQ disaster including planning for evacuation, building codes, and informing the public about what to do in an emergency.
Why do EQs occur?	Most major EQs occur along or near plate boundaries. EQs release built up stress due to plate motion. The largest tend to occur where 1) one plate subducts beneath another, or 2) where two continental pieces of plates slide past one another. Shallow EQs result in more damage and can		Knowing why EQs occur can help us to more accurately predict which areas are likely to have the greatest concentration of EQs and how far away from the plate boundary EQs might occur. This helps us

	<p>occur at all three types of boundaries. Deep EQs have their hypocenter (focus) 100s of kms beneath the epicenter, occur only at convergent boundaries, and the intensity of shaking is less than would occur for an EQ with the same magnitude occurring at a shallow depth.</p>		<p>predict which areas might be at risk for large EQs and where EQ intensity is likely to be large. This helps quantify future impacts</p>
--	---	--	--

Instruct participants to make note of this and any personal lingering questions, aha moments, what was important for learning, or areas that were confusing.

This page left intentionally blank

2 DESIGNING A GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

This component of the POD Teacher Workshops is designed to help participants plan for one Geospatial Inquiry to supplement an existing lesson. First, the group generates possible concepts that might be enhanced via Geospatial Inquiry. Then, the Geospatial Inquiry Template is introduced and participants choose a big idea/concept and possible guiding question that will guide a Geospatial Inquiry they can implement within 6 months.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Brainstorm a list of concepts that might be **enhanced** through the exploration of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data in a Geospatial Inquiry
- Identify a **purpose** to drive a Geospatial Inquiry that can be implemented with students

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry requires **purpose**
- Geospatial technologies are **tools** which **enhance** the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in data

Outline (75 minutes)

1. Brainstorm ways Geospatial Inquiry can enhance existing lessons	30 minutes
2. Introduce Geospatial Inquiry Template	15 minutes
3. Begin with the End in Mind	30 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator, you will need:

- The color coded Geospatial Analysis Framework poster

Your participants will need:

- To download a copy of Designing a Geospatial Inquiry – Geospatial Inquiry Template (digital copy - <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/> > Designing a Geospatial Inquiry). Password is: begeospatial2
- A place to save their Templates that you can access. We suggest creating a Group for your teachers in the POD Org. See *Two Weeks Prior to Teaching a POD Teacher Workshop* in this document for guidance on creating a group in the Org.
- Chart paper and markers
- Sticky notes

Facilitation Notes

1. Brainstorm ways Geospatial Inquiry can enhance existing lessons (30 minutes)

Remind teachers to use their Teacher Lens. Explain, now that participants have experienced one iteration of a Geospatial Inquiry cycle, they may have a sense of how this could benefit something they already teach.

Emphasize Geospatial Inquiry is something to **ENHANCE** existing lessons. It should not be an add-on or a lesson in and of itself.

Refer participants to their Teacher Guide page 2-13 to see an example enhanced Geospatial Inquiry lesson idea. As they view the example, point out the key pieces:

- a. The standard, concept, big idea, or **learning goal is identified first** (earthquakes are natural hazards and run the risk of becoming disasters). Teachers may be familiar with this concept of backwards design, in which teachers choose the best path for reaching a learning goal. Emphasize that not all concepts can be taught through Geospatial Inquiry. Explain that although this concept can be **enhanced** through the exploration of geospatial data, it is not a concept that can be taught through Geospatial Inquiry alone.

Geospatial Inquiry requires **PURPOSE**. The guiding question “*Does San Francisco, CA have a higher risk of seismic disaster than other large international cities?*” provides a reason for learning about the concept identified and for engaging in the Geospatial Inquiry. Emphasize that this question also lends itself well to students **making a claim or arguing** a position, which could require them to display geospatial data as **visual evidence** for these claims.

- b. Geospatial Inquiry involves finding **RELATIONSHIPS and PATTERNS** in geospatial data. The types of **geospatial analyses** that might help students answer the guiding question include examining:
 - i. where major earthquakes are (where things are)
 - ii. what’s nearby these major earthquakes, both in the natural and the human system (what’s nearby)
 - iii. Areas where major earthquakes are concentrated, where populations are concentrated (areas of concentration)

Explain the group will now work through some ideas for Geospatial Inquiry lessons. They can draw upon some of the Instructional Materials they reviewed for homework to complete this task.

First, invite teachers to individually spend **10 minutes** jotting down at least one concept in any discipline that could be enhanced through Geospatial Inquiry in the Teacher Guide, page 2-14. For each idea, they should include one or more of the geospatial analysis framework ideas.



After they have some ideas, invite small groups to spend **10 minutes** sharing these ideas with one another. Invite the groups to record the concepts/big ideas they generated on chart paper (*e.g. great migration – concept: people migrate in order to be closer to resources*). Ask what questions they might have about this task. Write the stop time on the board.

You should have created the Geospatial Analysis Framework Poster with colors - blue for where things are, red for what's nearby, green for what's inside, etc. Share this code with the teachers, and ask them to spend **5 minutes** to record which types of analyses students might conduct for each idea on the list, using your color code (*e.g. put a blue dot if the concept would be best explored by examining what's nearby*). Ask them to be prepared to share an example of the types of data students might explore (*e.g. If they indicated finding areas of concentration and finding what's nearby then they should be prepared to share how students would examine density of population in relation to waterways*).

Ask what questions they might have about this task. Write the stop time on the board.

2. Sharing with the whole group gallery walk (15 minutes)

Invite teachers to do a gallery walk. They will visit each poster and add a comment or a question using sticky notes. Remind them to grab a few sticky notes and a writing utensil before they move.



After they have had time to review the posters, facilitate a whole group discussion to address questions and to see if any of the geospatial analyses seem to be more prevalent than others.

Facilitation Tip:

This historically has been very difficult for teachers. Hopefully the prior discussion helps alleviate the difficulty. Focus on the difference between facts and concepts. If your participants are struggling, we have found this (Mundry, Keeley, & Landel, 2009)

What about disciplines? Are there some disciplines that lend themselves better than others to Geospatial Inquiry? (*Life sciences, Earth sciences, environmental sciences, history, literature, humanities, civics, geography, religion, language concepts*)

3. Introduce and complete the Geospatial Inquiry Template (15 minutes)

Explain that now they have some great ideas upon which to draw, they might be ready to start thinking about the specific lesson that they will implement within the next 6 months. We encourage them to think about a lesson they know very well, that could benefit from a geospatial perspective. We will provide some guidance for this process.

Ask participants to reference the Geospatial Inquiry Template in their Teacher Guides, page 2-15. Explain this document is also available digitally. Explain that by the end of the Workshop, they will complete this entire Template to enhance an existing lesson.

Direct teachers' attention to the Teacher Guide, Geospatial Inquiry Lesson Template, Begin with the End in Mind, page 2-15. Explain that it is a good idea to know where you want your students to end up before you plan out the route for getting them there.

Ask: what is your purpose for your overall lesson/unit? What should students understand better as a result of engaging in this Geospatial Inquiry-enhanced lesson/unit?

Encourage teachers to think about BIG IDEAS. Big ideas are statements that can be applied across multiple contexts to explain or predict outcomes. These ideas often come from national documents such as *A Framework for K12 Science Education* or national standards. Provide some examples:


Natural systems change over time and space is a really big idea that can be explored by looking at any number of specific cases (the Colorado River watershed, the Grand Canyon, a specific national forest near you, etc.).

Earth system processes in the atmosphere, hydrosphere and geosphere (natural hazards) become disastrous when they occur near densely populated areas, natural resources, or critical infrastructure (vulnerable systems). This could be learned by studying Hurricane Sandy, a landslide in Sierra Leone, an earthquake in Haiti, or a tsunami in Japan.

Complex processes change over time and shape patterns in the distribution of human and physical phenomena. This concept could be learned by studying the Great Migration, the Industrial Revolution or the Gold Rush.

Remind teachers of the brainstorm they just completed. The concepts listed on the posters might also provide inspiration for big ideas. Additional examples are listed on page 2-14 in their Teacher Guides.

Provide a few moments for teachers to jot down the big idea for their Geospatial Inquiry lesson on page 2-15, Begin with the End in Mind. Remind them this should be a lesson or unit they know very well. If they struggle, tell them it might be helpful to think about smaller sub concepts that build up to a larger big idea (show the hierarchy of scientific content knowledge graphic - sidebar) or to reference national standards documents in their discipline (be sure to help teachers focus on big ideas and concepts and to avoid specific performance objectives).




Direct participants to the [Geospatial Inquiry Lesson Template: Ask Questions](#) on page 2-15. Here they will consider what guiding question will drive the Geospatial Inquiry unit/lesson (e.g. - *When we don't see our source of water, how does this change our use of water? How does the source of our water and our usage impact the region we live in?*).

Provide a few moments for teachers to jot down a guiding question (or series of questions) for their Geospatial Inquiry lesson.


Finally, direct teachers' attention to the Geospatial Analysis Framework section and encourage them to draw upon the group discussion to identify ways students might explore relationships and patterns in geospatial data to better understand the concept or answer the guiding question. Remind teachers of the brainstorm they just completed. The color coding on the posters might also provide inspiration for analyses. Additional examples are listed on page 2-14 in their [Teacher Guides](#).

4. Access the template digitally and save (15 minutes)

DEMONSTRATE how to download the file from The Teacher Community: <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge/>. The password is **begeospatial2**



Designing a Geospatial Inquiry





DEMONSTRATE how to save documents in the folder for your group in the POD Organization for easy access at each session. Emphasize the importance of saving here so you can review and provide feedback on their lessons as they progress.

Explain they will have 15 minutes to complete these sections and save. Ask what questions they might have about the task. Write the stop time on the board.

2 CAREER SPOTLIGHT

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

A main goal of the POD project is to improve student interest, awareness and attitude toward STEM careers. This goal aligns with the purpose of the NSF ITEST grants (which support the POD project). These grants are intended to inspire more individuals to pursue STEM careers. As part of the POD project, we will be asking students about their Geospatial Career awareness and interest. The career spotlight pieces are an opportunity for teachers to engage students in thinking about possible STEM careers that include geospatial technology skills and comparing their own work as a student learner with the work of career professionals in different geospatial technology fields.

This spotlight introduces Rohini Saminathan. After experiencing the 2004 tsunami in South India, she became a Geomatics engineer. She currently works with UNOSAT, the Operational Satellite Applications Programme of UNITAR, the United Nations Institute of Training and Research. She is working toward faster, more efficient, more informed humanitarian action and disaster risk reduction.

GOALS

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Experience a diversity of careers that use geospatial technologies
- Discover how professionals in STEM fields engage in Geospatial Inquiry and for what purposes
- Consider how STEM Professionals' work is similar to the Geospatial Inquiry in the POD Teacher Workshop, and the Geospatial Inquiries in which students might engage
- Consider how to introduce geospatial careers to students and inspire them to enter these fields

POD PRINCIPLES EMPHASIZED

- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Outline (40 minutes)

1. Introduce and show video	15 minutes
2. Small group sharing	10 minutes
3. Whole group sharing	15 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- Access to Rohini Saminathan's TED X Talk (YouTube video)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h7fbfZxoWIY>
- Speakers
- Projector

Facilitation Notes

1. Introduce goals of Career Spotlight session and show the video (15 minutes)

Refer teachers to the Career Spotlight - [Teacher Guide](#), page 2-22.

Introduce the video. Ask participants to consider the questions:

- How does Rohini use the steps of Geospatial Inquiry in her work?
- How could you use this piece with your students to inspire them to pursue a STEM career?

2. Small group reaction to video (10 minutes)

Ask participants to share their answers to the questions in small groups.



3. Whole group share out (15 minutes)

Ask for volunteers to share what they discussed in small groups. Record ideas for using this piece with students.

This page intentionally left blank

2 METACOGNITION, EVALUATION AND HOMEWORK

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	---

Summary

Participants review learning from the session, consider their own learning experiences, and how these experiences might influence their classroom practices. Finally, they provide some informal feedback to the facilitators and learn of the homework assignment.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **reflective practice**:

- Review Science and Geospatial Technology learning from the session
- Contemplate how Geospatial Inquiry enhanced individual learning
- Consider ways to use Geospatial Inquiry in a classroom to enhance student learning

The purpose of informal evaluation is for you to gather feedback on the session to make adjustments as necessary for the next session.

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.

Outline (40 minutes)

1. Introduction	5 minutes
2. Metacognition, Homework, Informal evaluation	35 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- A plan for collecting feedback from participants. See Facilitator Community > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > [Informal Evaluation/Feedback Ideas](https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2).
<https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2>

Your participants will need:

- Access to the internet to view videos
- Headphones, if completing homework in the lab space (optional – can use closed captions)

Facilitation Notes

Invite participants to think about what they learned and process that learning for themselves. Ask participants to read the following and respond to the prompts in their [Teacher Guides](#)

- Science Session Review – Session 2
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 2
- Metacognition

Remind participants the foci for today were:

- ArcGIS Online is a **tool** to **critically analyze and interpret patterns and relationships** in geospatial data
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse (talk).

Metacognition questions prompt teachers to comment on these ideas.

The purpose of informal evaluation is for you to gather feedback on the session to make adjustments as necessary for the next session.

Ask participants to complete your informal evaluation task and invite them to complete the following for homework.

- Pedagogical Moves to Promote Geospatial Inquiry – Session 2

Homework

Refer teachers to their Teacher Guide, Homework: Pedagogical Moves to Promote Geospatial Inquiry - Establishing a Culture of Productive Talk, page 2-34.

Explain the Pedagogical Moves homework requires them to view some videos online and respond. They may want to complete their homework during this extended reflection time. If they do so, encourage them to use the Closed Captioning system on the videos or use headphones.

Goals for this homework include:

- **Geospatial Inquiry** requires **structure** to promote a **culture of collaborative learning**.

Facilitation Tip:

Alternatively, invite participants to read Part 3: Establishing a Culture of Productive Talk, https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/shared/pd/TalkScience_Primer.pdf pages 6-7

Facilitator Homework

Review Geospatial Inquiry Lesson Templates submitted and provide feedback. THIS IS HOW YOU KNOW IF TEACHERS ARE BEGINNING TO UNDERSTAND THE PRINCIPLES OF GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY.

Common pitfalls to look for:

- Designing a lesson they have never taught before. This process is new enough. We don't want to add novelty to the content on top of the Geospatial Inquiry approach. Ask questions about how it went the last time they taught the lesson. If they can't answer, encourage them to reconsider. Provide guidance about how to add geospatial analyses to enhance something they already teach. Contact the POD Team or reach out to other facilitators if you are stuck.
- Teaching the technology, not teaching with the technology.
 - *Is there a clear **PURPOSE** for engaging in Geospatial Inquiry?*
 - *Is the purpose aligned with core content knowledge in the discipline identified (i.e. does the purpose require students to apply a broad idea in multiple contexts to explain and/or predict outcomes)?*
 - *Must students learn or apply core content knowledge to answer the driving question?*
- No geospatial analyses required. Students put points on a map.
 - *Are quality geospatial analyses identified, appropriate to the learning goal listed?*
 - *Are students asked to find **PATTERNS AND RELATIONSHIPS** in data?*
 - *Are students required to **ARGUE** using geospatial data as visual **EVIDENCE**?*

Facilitation Tip:

If you see a potential problem, consider this an opportunity to use the teacher's ideas as resources. Ask questions that will guide teachers to make changes. This template is revisited in each of the next three sessions so there is time for **REVISION**.

- They are so excited by the potential of the tools they bite off more than they can chew.
 - *Is this a lesson they know well and can implement within 6 months?*
 - *Is data going to be easily available?*
 - *What smaller lessons can build student skills and knowledge to contribute to this eventual larger project?*

SESSION 3 FACILITATION NOTES FOR POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

Session 3 at a Glance – 7 hours

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation, & Homework
15 minutes	185 minutes	60 minutes	30 minutes	60 minutes	40 minutes	30 minutes

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is *begeospatial*

This page left intentionally blank

3 WELCOME – SESSION 3

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

The Welcome is intended to welcome participants to learn, respond to the prior session’s informal evaluation, answer questions about the Science and Geospatial Technology Session Reviews, explain the agenda for Session 3 and set a focus for the group’s learning.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Hear group feedback from the prior session’s informal evaluation and revisit working agreements as needed
- Ask questions about homework or prior session and draw upon these ideas to **construct meaning together** through **academically productive discourse** (talk)
- Preview the agenda for Session 3 and set a focus for this session’s learning: Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills**

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Outline (15 minutes)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 2 and answer questions from/debrief homework | 10 minutes |
| 2. Preview agenda for Session 3 and foci | 5 minutes |
-

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Science Session Review – Session 2
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 2

As facilitator, you will need:

- Reflections from informal evaluation – organized by highlights and areas of confusion so you can share with the participants
- Science Session Review – Session 2
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 2
- Session 3 Agenda and goals

Facilitation Tip:

Invite participants to sit in a new seat and exchange Role Cards to experience a new Role for this Session. This encourages participants to hear and consider new perspectives.

Charts

- Agenda for the Session
- Parking Lot for questions
- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills** such as collaborating with peers to ask questions, creatively selecting and displaying appropriate geospatial data, critically analyzing and interpreting geospatial data, and engaging in argument using geospatial data as evidence to communicate ideas to diverse audiences

Facilitation Notes

1. Review feedback received from Session 2.

Address concerns.

2. Ask and answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings.

3. Introduce the Session 3 Agenda and Focus.

Give a brief preview of the activities. Explain they will discuss the Pedagogical Moves homework later in the agenda. Explain that the focus for the session is the following principles upon which Geospatial Inquiry was conceived. Display the chart:

Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills** such as collaborating with peers to ask questions, creatively selecting and displaying appropriate geospatial data, critically analyzing and interpreting geospatial data, and engaging in argument using geospatial data as evidence to communicate ideas to diverse audiences.

Explain during Metacognition, they will be asked to reflect upon this principle.

This page left intentionally blank

3 GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY - SESSION 3

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	---------------------------	---	------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

Small groups develop a risk determination for one of three cities. Groups use their previous analyses of earthquake characteristics and factors and ACQUIRE and ANALYZE ADDITIONAL DATA about the human systems impacted by these events. Each of the groups presents their findings to one another through a jigsaw presentation. The groups make an overall determination as to which of the three cities is at most risk for experiencing a seismic disaster, present this information in a Story Map, receive peer feedback, and revise as needed.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **cross disciplinary practices**:

- **Collaboratively develop an argument** about a relationship in geospatial data for a defined purpose
- **Engage in argument** using geospatial data as **evidence** with appropriate **reasoning**
- **Communicate** an evidence-based argument to an audience, receive feedback and revise as needed
- Engage in **academically productive talk** to further understanding of **big disciplinary ideas**

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry **promotes cross-disciplinary practices and 21st century skills**
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative** and **sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas
-

Outline (185 minutes)

1. Summarize & Review	10 minutes
2. Determine Seismic Risk (for one city)	40 minutes
3. Jigsaw – Share findings	30 minutes
4. Argue from Evidence	30 minutes
5. Present your Argument in a Story Map	25 minutes
6. Peer Feedback	30 minutes
7. Summary Table	20 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Handout 1A Terminology and Representation (from Session 1)

Facilitation Notes

1. Summarize and Review (10 minutes)

Remind teachers to use their Adult Learner Lens. Refer teachers to page 3-3 in their Teacher Guides. Remind everyone of our guiding questions and let them know that our **PURPOSE** in this session will be to predict risk of earthquake disaster in three different regions of the world using our data and information from the past session. We will focus on the overarching question for this unit of Geospatial Inquiry (in bold) and patterns in relationship in the data that indicate that risk (in bold).

How can we predict if an area is at high risk for natural disaster?

- How can geospatial data be used to help explain where and why natural hazards occur?
- **What patterns and relationships in geospatial data indicate high risk of disaster?**
- How can geospatial data and tools be used as evidence to communicate risk?

Refer participants to the Geospatial Analysis Framework on the chart. Ask participants to reflect on which of the analysis frameworks they have used so far and remind them to continue to consider the framework as they develop their analyses.

Ask participants to review the types of data they have collected so far. Ask participants to reflect back to session 1 and consider the types of data and information we wanted to acquire in order to assess risk of earthquakes in a particular region (table on page 1-8).

Refer participants to Teacher Guide page 3-5. Explain they will re-examine the Risk of Disaster Venn diagram from session 1 (Handout 1A Terminology and Representation) and consider if there are categories listed on this diagram that correspond to categories of information and data that they are still missing if they want to determine earthquake risk. (*The human/vulnerable system*). Give a time limit (3 minutes) and write the stop time on the board.

2. Determine Seismic Risk (for one city) (40 minutes)

Explain that we will consider the relative risk of seismic disaster in three different cities: Los Angeles, USA; Tokyo, Japan; and Reykjavik, Iceland. Ask if anyone has visited any of these cities or has knowledge to share about these cities.

Number off table groups 1-3 to correspond to each city. Make sure that each of the three cities has at least one group working on it AND that each table group has 3 teachers sitting at the table. This is critical because in step 3, each teacher at the table forms a new group with two other teachers assigned to the other two cities, and each teacher becomes an expert for their assigned city (e.g. 1 Tokyo expert, 1 LA expert, 1 Reykjavik expert).

Ask participants to work with their table group to acquire, analyze and interpret data about the natural system and the (human) vulnerable system (human-built system) for their assigned areas.

Refer participants to the data collection chart in their Teacher Guide, page 3-6 to help coming up with search terms for their risk determination data.

Refer them to the Geospatial Analysis Framework and ArcGIS Online Task Cards for ways they might analyze the data they have identified.

Refer participants to “Searching for Risk Determination Data” and “Search Terms” in their Teacher Guides, page 3-7 and 3-8 and walk through key points.

Facilitation Tip:

At this point, explain to teachers they should use these pre-determined search terms to minimize frustration and maximize work time. They will get a chance to do more open ended searching later in the Workshop.

Tell the groups they have 40 minutes to work. Ask what questions they might have about this task. Write the stop time on the board. Circulate and help with GIS analysis as needed.

As participants work, look for cross disciplinary connections and point them out, since this is a focus for the day.

You could ask questions such as:

- Are you using any skills or knowledge from disciplines other than science?

(Geography is evident. There might be connections to historical inquiry – e.g. thinking about a past event and the effects on the vulnerable system. There is a great deal of writing involved, assuming we ensure all visuals are created to support written arguments. Mathematical practices could also be present or possible – e.g. reason abstractly and quantitatively; planning for problem solving.)

- Have you pointed out the strengths and limitations of your argument that is sensitive to the specific audience you have chosen?
- Have you clarified the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims?
- You seem to be reasoning quantitatively about this claim. Is there a representation beyond the map that might help you get your idea across to your audience? (*Graphs, charts*)

3. Jigsaw – Share Findings (30 minutes)

Invite participants to form groups of three in which there is at least 1 Tokyo expert, 1 LA expert, and 1 Reykjavik expert.



Ask participants in the jigsaw groups to share their findings about their assigned cities with the rest of their group. Explain that they will use the information they present to one another to develop a recommendation for which area is most at risk of seismic disaster (in the next step).

4. Argue from Evidence (30 minutes)

Explain to participants, that in their jigsaw group, they now have information about each of the cities. Their task is to consider how limited funding and resources should be allocated towards earthquake preparedness. Their groups are tasked with developing an argument for one of the cities to receive the resources.

Explain to groups that they should first develop their argument (using the prompts in their [Teacher Guide page 3-9](#)). The argument should present a claim as to which city they selected, what evidence they have for the risk of seismic disaster, and their reasoning for why this risk is greater or more serious than other selected areas.

Facilitation Tip:

Remind teachers of time remaining throughout this exercise.

5. Present your Argument in a Story Map (25 minutes)

Explain that now that they have developed a well written argument supported by geospatial evidence (maps) they can begin to create their presentation. For this task, they will use a Story Map to communicate their argument with the audience.

Refer participants to their [Teacher Guide page 3-10 and 3-11](#) for guidance in creating a Story Map.

6. Peer Feedback (30 minutes)

Direct participants to pair up with two other teams. Each team must have an opportunity to present, receive feedback, and consider how they might revise their presentations based on that feedback. Refer participants to the rubric in their [Teacher Guide](#), page 3-12. Ask participants to take notes using the Story Map Peer Feedback chart while listening to their peers' presentations.

Tell participants that after the presentations we will debrief both the content of the Story Maps and our process for putting them together in the argumentation format. When we debrief the content of the Story Maps we will use the argumentation categories and focus on the questions listed in the feedback column and examples from the map presentation. They will have 20 minutes total.

Ask for questions and write the stop time on the board.

Debrief the process of creating an argument using a story map. Ask participants to share what they found most challenging and most helpful about these categories and in general their process of creating a story map using the argumentation rubric.

Facilitation Tip:

If you are short on time, you can divide the categories between the groups. Assign each group to a different category on the rubric upon which to focus for feedback.

Facilitation Tip:

Circulate as the teams present and listen so you can address possible misconceptions when you debrief.

7. Summary Table (15 minutes)

Based on the presentations and arguments, direct participants to work in small groups to complete the summary table for this Session on the chart in their teacher guides. Briefly share out and record a few ideas on the class chart. Pay attention to incomplete understandings, ask for evidence to support incorrect ideas and encourage participants to do the same, taking special care to focus on the idea, not the individual.

SAMPLE SUMMARY TABLE

Activity	What we learned	How we learned it	How does this inform whether particular regions of the world are more at risk for particular natural disasters? How does this help us mitigate the impact of potential future events?
Session 3	Los Angeles and Tokyo are most at risk for a large earthquake.	Examining where things are, areas of concentration (density), most and least, and the map legend for earthquake magnitude.	If a city is very close to a plate boundary it should be prepared for an EQ disaster including planning for emergency operations, passing and enforcing pertinent building codes, and informing the public how to prepare for a geologic disaster.
	All three cities are at risk from shallow earthquakes. For Tokyo, some shallow earthquakes will occur relatively far away and those will pose less risk than nearer, shallow earthquakes.	Examining where things are, areas of concentration (density), most and least, and the map legend for earthquake depth.	If a city is likely to experience large, shallow earthquakes it should be prepared for extensive surface damage in some neighborhoods.

	<p>Large earthquakes are frequent near Los Angeles and Tokyo, not very frequent near Reykjavik.</p>	<p>Examining where things are, areas of concentration (density), most and least, and data for earthquakes for a given time interval.</p>	<p>Cities can use information about the frequency of large earthquakes to inform spending and regulatory decisions on the degree of preparedness. If large earthquakes are not frequent, then cities may decide to spend less on preparedness and devote the funds to other quality-of-life initiatives.</p>
--	---	--	--

Instruct participants to make note of this and any personal lingering questions, aha moments, what was important for learning, or areas that were confusing.

3 IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING WITH GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	--	------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------

SUMMARY

Participants “jigsaw” interviews from teachers who have implemented Geospatial Inquiry to identify skills and dispositions that lead to successful facilitation of Geospatial Inquiry, the contexts in place that support Geospatial Inquiry, benefits of Geospatial Inquiry for students, and ways to overcome barriers. They then compare patterns to their own situations.

GOALS

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Explore aspects of well-facilitated Geospatial Inquiry:
 - Providing a **purpose** for engaging in Geospatial Inquiry
 - Planning Geospatial Inquiry over an appropriate amount of **time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas
 - Providing multiple opportunities for students to **collaborate**, compare ideas, and receive **feedback** from peers, teachers, and stakeholders
- Highlight benefits of Geospatial Inquiry for students:
 - Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills**
 - Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry, Session 3 18

POD PRINCIPLES EMPHASIZED

- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills**
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas
- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Outline (60 minutes)

1. Introduce interviews and directions for jigsaw.	5 minutes
2. Individually read and identify characteristics and contexts OR benefits and barriers.	15 minutes
3. Work with your team to identify trends	15 minutes
4. Partner up to share and whole group summary	20 minutes
5. Individual reflection	5 minutes

PREPARATION AND LOGISTICS

As the facilitator you will need:

- Copies – 2 or 3 of each (15 total), stapled, back to back, 3 hole punched (or provide one so participants can punch the copies and insert into their binders) for the jigsaw. Access at <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Handouts and Links > Session 3:
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3A
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3B
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3C
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3D
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3E
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3F
 - Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry Interview Handout 3G
- Review the interviews so you are somewhat familiar with them

Your participants will need:

- Chart paper and markers

Facilitation Notes

1. Introduce the teacher interviews (5 minutes)

Remind teachers to be using their Teacher Lens.

Explain to participants that the POD Project has been working with teachers over the past 7 years. During this session, they will have an opportunity to read one teacher's story. Refer teachers to the Teacher Guide, page 3-15.

Ask teachers to work in pairs and decide who will be person A and who will be person B (if you have 15 teachers, one group will have two A s).

There should be 7 pairs and one additional person. Pass one copy of the same interview to each pair or trio (e.g. pair 1 each gets a copy of interview A, pair 2 each gets a copy of Interview B, etc.). Explain they will all read the interview they have individually, but they will be reading for different information.

Refer to the Teacher Guide, page 3-16 *Characteristics and Contexts to Support Geospatial Inquiry* and *Benefits and Barriers to Geospatial Inquiry*, page 3-17.

Each pair (or trio) will read the same interview but person A will look for Characteristics and Contexts that support Geospatial Inquiry and person B will look for benefits to students who participate in Geospatial Inquiry, barriers teachers have faced, and how they have overcome them.

Tell them they have 15 minutes to read and respond individually. Then, all the A's will get together and all the B's will get together in groups. These groups will spend 15 minutes together to distill the general trends from the interviews. Next, they will return to their original partners to teach one another what they learned for 10 minutes, and finally they will reflect individually for 5 minutes.

Ask what questions they might have about the task. Clarify, then note the end time of the individual work (15 minutes), the end time of the group work (15 minutes), and the end time of the partner work (10 minutes) on the board.

2. Read and identify characteristics and contexts OR benefits and barriers (15 minutes)

Allow participants to individually read and identify characteristics and contexts OR benefits and barriers.

3. Work with other A's and B's to identify trends in the interviews (15 minutes)

Invite all of the A's to convene to identify and chart trends in the characteristics and contexts that support Geospatial Inquiry. Meanwhile, invite the B's to get together to identify and chart common benefits for students, barriers that teachers faced, and ways they overcame the barriers.

4. Partner up to share and whole group summary (20 minutes)



Ask participants to pair back up, an A and a B (one group will have 3 people) and teach one another what they learned. After about 10 minutes, come back as a whole group to share observations and view the charts from the groups. Use the following sample charts as a guide to prompt ideas.

5. Individual reflection (5 minutes)

Ask participants to reflect on this discussion on page 3-19 of the [Teacher Guide](#).

6. Sample Charts

Characteristics	Contexts
<i>Teachers with higher technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge had the most success.</i>	<i>Flexibility in curriculum</i>
<i>Willingness to change/learn alongside students/take risks and give up control</i>	<i>Supportive administrator</i>
<i>Willingness to improve practice and learn new skills and knowledge</i>	<i>Access to reliable technology</i>
<i>Willing to get help from others</i>	<i>Time – longer class periods yielded more success and time for projects</i>
<i>Recognized benefits for students. Student benefits provided a desire to continue, persevere when barriers were encountered</i>	<i>Teams of teachers at a school or community partner to support students</i>
<i>Recognized need to change teaching when students are not learning</i>	
<i>Considered themselves facilitator of learning vs. deliverer of knowledge</i>	

Benefits for Students	Barriers
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Opportunity to engage in relevant, authentic, investigations</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Takes time</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Geospatial Inquiry helps students learn content better</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Technology access</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Opportunity to engage in science and engineering practices</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Lack of curricular flexibility</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Opportunity to engage in 21st century workforce skills</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Assessing authentic projects is challenging</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Opportunity to present/work alongside stakeholders</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>High stakes testing</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Opportunity to gather and/or analyze data they curated or gathered in the field</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Administrators don't know where GIS fits in the curriculum</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Higher student engagement</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Teachers lack technology skills</i>

Ideas to overcome barriers

- Educate administrators on the benefits of Geospatial Inquiry – see resources on www.pod-stem.org
- Commit to practicing GIS once a month. See [resources http://www.pod-stem.org/more/](http://www.pod-stem.org/more/)
- Find a GeoMentor: [resources http://www.pod-stem.org/more/](http://www.pod-stem.org/more/)
- Engage help from other teachers and community members on an authentic joint project
- Invite parents, administrators, teachers, and community members to your classroom to see benefits for students
- Host student presentations
- Crowdfund funding for computers – see resources on www.pod-stem.org

References:

<http://www.pod-stem.org/publications-and-presentations/>

This page intentionally left blank

3 CAREER SPOTLIGHT

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

This spotlight is an interview with Jessica Block, a Research Analyst at University of California San Diego specializing in the use of sensor networks, remote sensing, and geospatial visualization tools for disaster response, natural resource management, policy decision-making, and sustainability.

GOALS

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Experience a diversity of careers that use geospatial technologies
- Discover how professionals in STEM fields engage in Geospatial Inquiry and for what purposes
- Consider how STEM Professionals' work is similar to the Geospatial Inquiry in the POD Teacher Workshop, and the Geospatial Inquiries in which students might engage
- Consider diverse ways to introduce geospatial careers to students and inspire them to enter these fields

POD PRINCIPLES EMPHASIZED

- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Outline (30 minutes)

1. Introduction and reading	15 minutes
2. Small group sharing	10 minutes
3. Whole group sharing	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- Read the Spotlight

Facilitation Notes

1. Introduce the reading and allow participants time to read (15 minutes)

Remind participants that the focus for the day is cross disciplinary practices, thus this Spotlight differs from the last session, which was a video. Here we provide a reading in an interview format. Preview the reading. Refer participants to their [Teacher Guides](#), page 3-26 Ask them to consider the questions as they read, then discuss the questions in small groups.

- How does Jessica use the steps of Geospatial Inquiry in her work?
- How does Jessica's work compare with Rohini's?
- How could you use this piece with your students to inspire them to pursue a STEM career?
- How does the interview format compare with the video format?

2. Small group sharing (10 minutes)



Ask participants to share their answers to the questions in small groups.

3. Whole group share out (5 minutes)

Ask for volunteers to share what they discussed in small groups. Highlight the pros and cons to using video vs. using the reading, and emphasize the steps of geospatial inquiry across the two Spotlights along with the potential for these examples to inspire students to enter geospatial careers.

This page left intentionally blank

3 DESIGNING A GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

Participants revisit their Geospatial Inquiry Templates to consider what products they will assign students in order to a. provide feedback for revision and b. collect evidence of student learning throughout the Geospatial Inquiry. Finally participants will identify criteria against which they can assess student products.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to continue planning for Geospatial Inquiry to supplement an existing unit:

- Identify opportunities for students to use technology to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual evidence** to support written **arguments**.
- Identify an appropriately timed **sequence of activities** to promote conceptual understanding of big disciplinary ideas
- Identify opportunities for students to collaborate, compare ideas, and **receive feedback** on those ideas
- Define criteria to determine **evidence** of student **conceptual understanding**

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial **technologies are tools** which enhance the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual** evidence to support written arguments.
- Geospatial Inquiry is iterative and **sequenced over time** to promote conceptual understanding of big disciplinary ideas
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.

Outline (60 minutes)

1. Introduce lesson sequence, critical junctures, formative feedback, and criteria for quality evidence of learning	20 minutes
2. Individual work time	40 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator, you will need:

- Prior to this session you should have reviewed the lessons submitted thus far and provided **FEEDBACK**.

Your participants will need:

- Designing a Geospatial Inquiry – Geospatial Inquiry Template (digital copy saved in Session 2)

Facilitation Notes

1. Introduce sequence of activities, critical junctures, formative feedback, and criteria for quality evidence of learning (20 minutes)

Remind participants this is a Teacher Lens activity. Direct teachers to *Designing a Geospatial Inquiry*, page 3-27 in the Teacher Guide and provide time to read the goals.


Explain that we will return to the Geospatial Inquiry Template and focus on Evidence of Student Learning and Quality of Evidence.

Explain that now that learning goals have been identified, they can start to think about an appropriate sequence of smaller concepts that will guide students toward conceptual understanding of these goals.

Highlight that it is likely that students will need to work through multiple iterations of a Geospatial Inquiry cycle, just as they have experienced in this Workshop. Direct teachers to *Designing a Geospatial Inquiry*, page 3-28 in the Teacher Guide. Invite teachers to outline a lesson sequence.

Facilitation Tip:

It might be helpful for teachers to sketch out a sequence of ideas that build toward conceptual understanding before thinking about products. This is why we recommend teachers modify a lesson they know well. Again, this illustration (Mundry, Keeley, & Landel, 2009) has been helpful to teachers in the past.



Design

Invite participants to read Teacher Guide page 3-29, *Critical Junctures and Feedback*. Invite them to discuss in small groups and share ideas with the whole group.

Direct participants' attention to Evidence of Student Learning in Teacher Guide, page 3-30. When they return to the Template they saved in Session 2, they can note critical junctures and products that will serve as evidence that students are ready to progress to the next lesson in this section.

Evidence of Student Learning

Define the student products for the Geospatial Inquiry-enhanced lesson/unit.

Which of these (or other products) will you assess? Which products require feedback to enable students to refine their thinking?

Early on:

In the middle:

Final product:

Do students have multiple opportunities to ask questions, analyze and interpret geospatial data, argue from evidence, present their arguments, and revise their thinking?

Consider ways to assess content knowledge and skills, communication skills, and process. Consider both formal products and informal assessments (conversations, observations, etc.).

Explain that for each product identified, they must delineate **criteria** for success, so this can clearly be communicated to students. This is to be noted under Quality of Evidence.

Quality of Evidence

State the criteria for exemplary performance for each product:

Product:

Criteria:

Do the products and criteria align with identified outcomes? Do the products and tasks give all students the opportunity to demonstrate what they have learned not only through visual representations, but also through writing and speaking? Do assessments enable you to determine how well a student understands? Do formative assessments reveal student thinking behind mistakes so you can intervene?

2. Individual work time (40 minutes)

Tell participants they have 40 minutes to complete the Template through *Quality of Evidence* individually. Ask for questions they might have about the task. Write the stop time on the board.

Circulate and offer feedback as needed. Be sure to stress the importance of feedback for students and many opportunities for revision.

***** CAUTION *****

Keep an eye out for overly ambitious lessons, knowing that they must implement within 6 months and will need to find data at the appropriate scale. You should have reviewed the lessons submitted from Session 2. As needed, gently guide participants toward lessons with existing data that is easily accessible. This is why we encourage them to bring an existing lesson they know well. Explain that it's best to start small and build up to the long term projects involving data mining or collecting.

3 PEDAGOGICAL MOVES TO PROMOTE GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – HOW TO SUPPORT ACADEMICALLY PRODUCTIVE TALK

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------

Summary

In this session, we discuss what it takes to promote a culture of academically productive talk, then consider some specific teaching strategies. We introduce Talk Moves aligned with goals related to different steps in Geospatial Inquiry and view classroom videos of these Talk Moves in action.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Consider **structures** which promote a **culture of collaborative learning** in the **Geospatial Inquiry**
- Explore teaching strategies (Talk Moves) which support academically productive talk in real classrooms

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**. It provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas with others, and receive feedback on those ideas through productive, equitable and respectful discourse.

Outline (40 minutes)

1. Debrief homework – promoting a culture of academically productive talk	5 minutes
2. Four corners – goals of talk	10 minutes
3. Talk Moves	25 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- (one per participant) of TERC Talk Science Primer: https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/shared/pd/TalkScience_Primer.pdf - Digital access is also an option.

As the facilitator you will need:

- Print the [4 goals of productive talk](http://www.podstem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Goals-for-Productive-Discussion-POD.pdf) <http://www.podstem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Goals-for-Productive-Discussion-POD.pdf> on separate pages of card stock
- 4 corners goals, one posted in each corner of the room

Facilitation Tip:

Facilitation Tip – these examples are from 4-5 grade classrooms. They are excellent, and accompany the goals, but we have also provided [other examples](#). <https://app.box.com/s/1zu6ho7rg3nlf5khi5ifwc8a16b4huot> If you choose other examples, you will need to edit the teacher guide accordingly.

Facilitation Notes

1. Review homework – Promoting a culture of academically productive talk (5 minutes)

Ask teachers to use their Teacher Lens. Remind participants of the videos they viewed for homework which outlined ways to promote a culture of academically productive talk (valuing student talk, setting, clarifying and enforcing expectations, including all students). Invite participants to discuss in their small groups ways the POD Workshop has supported or hindered academically productive talk so far and how this has influenced their learning. Invite small groups to share something from their conversations.

2. Four goals of academically productive talk, four corners (10 minutes)

Point out the goals of productive talk posted in the corners of the room (1. Help individual students share, expand and clarify their own thoughts; 2. Help students listen carefully to one another; 3. Help students deepen their reasoning; 4. Help students engage with others' reasoning).

Ask teachers to stand by the goal they feel most comfortable with in the classroom. When they get there, ask them to have a conversation with others in that corner for 3 minutes.

Call the attention of the group. Now ask them to stand by the goal they feel their students struggle with the most and have a conversation with others in that corner for 3 minutes. Note any patterns you observed. (*e.g. Most people feel comfortable asking students to expand their thinking but students seem to require help when thinking with others*)

Explain that we will now explore some specific teaching strategies that will encourage productive talk in Geospatial Inquiry related to these goals.

Facilitation Tip:

This is a little energy booster using physical movement to 4 corners. Feel free to skip this if you are behind schedule. If, during 4 corners, no one stands with a participant, ask them if you can join them and have a conversation or ask them to converse with another “lone wolf” if needed.

3. Introduction to Talk Moves (5 minutes)

Explain there are some “talk moves” teachers can use to help students engage in academically productive discussions. These “moves” might help you reach the goals you felt less confident in.

Invite teachers to return to their seats to access the Talk Science Primer, page 11, *Goals for Productive Discussions and Nine Talk Moves*: (or pass out hard copies, or return to the copies from Session 1):

https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/shared/pd/TalkScience_Primer.pdf

Ask if anyone has heard of these “Talk Moves” before. If so, invite them to expound – have they used them? If so, what have the results been?

Explain we will go into depth, exploring an example of a productive science discussion in a 4-5 grade classroom. This example will illustrate Talk Moves in action. First, everyone will read the background, then we will divide the reading into sections by goal.

4. Talk Moves in Action (20 minutes)

Direct participants to page 12: *An Example of a Productive Science Discussion*. Ask everyone to read the background then choose two goals that were identified as areas where students struggle to read more deeply. Invite each small group to read their two goals, take notes in their Teacher Guide, page 3-30 and discuss how they might use these examples in their own classrooms during a Geospatial Inquiry. They will have 20 minutes to complete the task. Ask what questions they might have and write the stop time on the board.

Facilitation Tip:

Alternatively, ask participants to view videos


https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/prof_dev/library.cfm.html > Talk Strategies (Talk Moves)

All videos can be found by visiting this [library of resources](#)

https://inquiryproject.terc.edu/prof_dev/library.cfm.html

Ask for volunteers to share the videos they viewed and their answers to the questions in the Guide with the whole group. Emphasize the connection between certain Talk Moves and steps in Geospatial Inquiry.

Reminder of alignment between four types of talk and steps in Geospatial Inquiry:



This page left intentionally blank

3 METACOGNITION, EVALUATION, AND HOMEWORK

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Pedagogical Moves	Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	---

Summary

Participants review learning from the session, consider their own learning experiences, and how these experiences might influence their classroom practices. Finally, they provide some informal feedback to the facilitators and learn of the homework assignment.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **reflective practice**:

- Review Science and Geospatial Technology learning from the session
- Contemplate how Geospatial Inquiry enhanced individual learning
- Consider ways to use Geospatial Inquiry in a classroom to enhance student learning

The purpose of informal evaluation is for you to gather feedback on the session to make adjustments as necessary for the next session.

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.

Outline (30 minutes)

3. Individual work time	20 minutes
4. Homework, informal evaluation, preparation for next session	10 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- A plan for collecting feedback from participants. See Facilitator Community > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > [Informal Evaluation/Feedback Ideas](https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2).
<https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2>

Your participants will need:

- Access to the internet
- ORG/login access to ArcGIS Online

Facilitation Notes

Ask participants to think about what they learned and process that learning for themselves.

Invite participants to read the following and respond to the prompts in their Teacher Guides

- Science Session Review – Session 3
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 3
- Metacognition

Ask participants to complete your informal evaluation task and invite them to complete the following for homework.

Homework

- Review potential hazards links
- Review Buckets of Data - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/199sSohj9-Mmn5PvWTGv1WrMmj9OorpUc5PVYINruizc/edit#gid=0>
- Review Data Available in Living Atlas - <https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/en/#s=0>

Refer participants to *Homework* in their Teacher Guides, pages 3-41 and 3-42. Explain that in the next session, they will apply knowledge and skills to investigate the risk of a **natural hazard** of their choosing.

Explain as for any Geospatial Inquiry, we are limited to data that is available for our investigation. We have gathered some data to make the task less daunting. Caution teachers not to spend too much time looking at data. This is just an exploratory task. We will spend more time during Session 4.

Remind participants they will focus on **NATURAL** hazards.

- Ask participants to review potential hazards for homework.
 - Extreme weather <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/climate-information/extreme-events>
 - Climate <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/climate-monitoring/>
 - Colorado River drainage basin
 - <https://www.usbr.gov/>
 - <https://www.nae.edu/Publications/Bridge/55183/55194.aspx>
x
 - Hurricanes <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/>
 - Flooding <https://water.usgs.gov/floods/>
 - Fires <http://www.firescience.gov/>

They will also be considering vulnerable systems. Explain we have curated some data they may find useful in a Buckets of Data document

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/199sSohj9-Mmn5PvWTGv1WrMmj9OorpUc5PVYINruizc/edit#gid=0> including:

- Extreme temperature
- Precipitation
- Climate
- Weather U.S. focused data here
- Colorado River drainage basin (Water usage and how it's changed over time – How do you solve that problem or is there a solution)
- Drainage basin
- Water data
- Hurricanes – Land value data, race, demographics,
- Flooding
- Elevation
- Surface – impervious surface how much development over time
- Population density
- Drought
- Fires
- Vegetation – types
- Development



DEMONSTRATE how to access the data buckets that are located in the Power of Data Organization account and respond to questions.

Encourage them to explore the data for homework.

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/199sSohj9-Mmn5PvWTGv1WrMmj9OorpUc5PVYINruizc/edit#gid=0>

Finally, we recommend data provided in Esri's Living Atlas. Note these are only available when logged into Organization Accounts. If they log into the POD Org, they can access these data.

Living Atlas - <https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/en/#s=0>

Metacognition, Evaluation & Homework, Session 3 46

Facilitator Homework

Review Geospatial Inquiry Lesson Templates submitted and provide feedback. THIS IS HOW YOU KNOW IF TEACHERS ARE BEGINNING TO UNDERSTAND THE PRINCIPLES OF GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY.

Facilitation Tip:

If you see a potential problem, consider this an opportunity to use the teacher's ideas as resources. Ask questions that will guide teachers to make changes. This template is revisited in each of the next three sessions so there is time for **REVISION**.

Common pitfalls to look for in addition to those listed previously:

- Products not aligned to skills or knowledge necessary for completing the final product
- Not enough opportunities for iterative Geospatial Inquiry, feedback, and time for revision
- Products and criteria are not aligned with learning outcome (i.e. lists of things that must be included in a presentation such as “four slides in the Story Map” vs. criteria for quality analysis such as “chooses appropriate data as evidence to support claims and provides reasoning why this data counts as evidence for each claim”)

SESSION 4 FACILITATION NOTES FOR POD TEACHER WORKSHOP

Session 4 at a Glance – 7 hours

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
15 minutes	190 minutes	70 minutes	35 minutes	45 minutes	30 minutes	20 minutes

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is begeospatial

This page left intentionally blank

4 WELCOME – SESSION 4

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

The Welcome is intended to welcome participants to learn, respond to the prior session's informal evaluation, answer questions about the Science and Geospatial Technology Session Reviews, explain the agenda for Session 4 and set a focus for the group's learning.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Hear group feedback from the prior session's informal evaluation and revisit working agreements as needed
- Ask questions about homework or prior session and draw upon these ideas to **construct meaning together** through **academically productive discourse** (talk)
- Preview the agenda for Session 4 and set a focus for this session's learning: Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Outline (15 minutes)

1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 3 and answer questions from/debrief homework	10 minutes
2. Preview agenda for Session 4	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Science Session Review – Session 3
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 3

As facilitator, you will need:

- Reflections from informal evaluation – organized by highlights and areas of confusion so you can share with the participants
- Science Session Review – Session 3
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 3
- Session 4 Agenda and goals

Facilitation Tip:

Invite participants to sit in a new seat and exchange Role Cards to experience a new Role for this Session. This encourages participants to hear and consider new perspectives.

Charts

- Agenda for the Session
- Parking Lot for questions
- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Facilitation Notes

1. Review feedback received from Session 3.

Address concerns.

2. Answer questions about Science and Geospatial Technology Review readings and Homework.

Explain you will go into much more depth about the data provided during Geospatial Inquiry.

3. Introduce the Session 4 Agenda and Focus.

Give a brief preview of the activities. Explain that the focus for the session is the following principle upon which Geospatial Inquiry was conceived. Display the chart:

Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Explain during Metacognition, they will be asked to reflect upon this principle.

This page left intentionally blank

4 GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – SESSION 4

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	---------------------------	---	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

Participants apply their understanding of the relationship between vulnerable systems and natural hazards from the Geospatial Inquiry on earthquakes to determine the risk of their choice of natural disaster in their local regions. Participants identify the data and geospatial analyses they need to consider to conduct their own Geospatial Inquiry. They also choose the best ArcGIS Online geospatial deliverable for their arguments based on their purpose and intended audience.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills**:

- **Collaboratively ask questions** and **critically analyze and interpret** geospatial data for a defined **purpose**
- **Creatively select and display** appropriate geospatial data as **evidence** to support an **argument**
- **Communicate** an **evidence-based argument** to an audience, receive feedback and revise as needed

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry promotes **cross-disciplinary practices** and **21st century skills**
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative** and **sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas

Outline (190 minutes)

1. Introduction and Process	10 minutes
2. Small groups decide on focus and organize data	50 minutes
3. Argue from Evidence	30 minutes
4. Prepare a Presentation	45 minutes
5. Peer Presentation & Feedback	45 minutes
6. Debrief	10 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- Be familiar with Buckets of Data and information from links in homework
 - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/199sSohj9-Mmn5PvWTGv1WrMmj9OorpUc5PVYINruizc/edit#gid=0>
 - Extreme weather <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/climate-information/extreme-events>
 - Climate <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/climate-monitoring/>
 - Colorado River drainage basin
 - <https://www.usbr.gov/>
 - <https://www.nae.edu/Publications/Bridge/55183/55194.aspx>
 - Hurricanes <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/>
 - Flooding <https://water.usgs.gov/floods/>
 - Fires <http://www.firescience.gov/>

Facilitation Notes

1. Introduction and Process (10 minutes)

Remind teachers to use their Adult Learner Lens.

Say: “In the sessions so far, we have explored where earthquakes occur and analyzed patterns in the data about earthquake events. We used our interpretations of what the data means to assess the risk of earthquake disaster in particular areas of the world. Now it’s your turn! In this session, your PURPOSE for the Geospatial Inquiry is to assess disaster risk for a natural hazard of your choosing and in a location of your choice.

Ask for examples of NATURAL DISASTERS (*major adverse events resulting from natural processes of the Earth like floods, hurricanes, tornadoes, volcanic eruptions, earthquakes, tsunamis, and other geologic processes*)

Refer to the Teacher Guide, page 4-3. Explain they should work in small groups or pairs to choose a hazard to investigate and a particular region on which to focus. Their task is to determine if that region is at risk for disaster. They will create a presentation used to advise the general public or help communities determine if significant resources should be devoted to planning for or mitigating the effects of the chosen natural disaster in their region and share it with the other groups.

Explain you will first walk them through the process and then they will have time to work.

Refer participants to the guiding questions. Point out that through the session so far we have been able to answer these questions for earthquakes. Ask participants to focus on answering these same guiding questions for the hazard of their choice.

GUIDING QUESTIONS

Which regions of the world are most at risk of experiencing natural disaster?

- iv. How can geospatial data be used to help explain where and why natural hazards occur?
- v. What patterns and relationships in geospatial data indicate high risk of disaster?
- vi. How can geospatial data and tools be used as evidence to communicate risk?

Geospatial Analysis Framework

Refer to the Geospatial Analysis Framework poster. Remind participants to consider which of the geospatial analyses might be most helpful for their inquiry.

Ask Questions

Once teachers have decided what hazard they would like to investigate and what city or regions they would like to focus on, they can begin to develop a plan for their inquiry. Refer them to their [Teacher Guide](#) page 4-5 Ask Questions.

Emphasize the importance of having a plan before looking through data.

What information do you need?	What data do you need to acquire?	How will you analyze and interpret the data?

Remind participants they will be using the data from this chart to develop an argument using evidence (similar to what we did with earthquake but this time with a hazard and location of your choosing). They should identify their audience for this argument. Will they present to a city council to affect policy? Will they be communicating directly with citizens? These decisions will drive their analysis and communication plans.

Acquire and Analyze Data

Remind participants that during our earthquake inquiry we went back and forth between acquiring data and analyzing that data as part of the Geospatial Inquiry Cycle. Explain that they should now go through a similar process with their group as they follow their data plan for their hazard and city.

Remind them that besides the data available in ArcGIS Online, we provided “buckets” of data to assist them in creating their maps. These are the data we asked them to review for homework.

Ask – what did you notice about the data? What questions might you have about the data? *The idea of scale might come up. If not, bring it up.*

Refer participants to their Teacher Guides, “Discussion of Scale” page 4-6 as you read it:

Discussion of Scale

When exploring data to solve your geospatial map question, you need to be aware of the scale of the map and as such the related data. For instance, if you have a question that is global in nature, you will need to portray the entire globe on the map. This would be considered a small-scale map because the map area must be made much smaller to show the entire world. Even though a small-scale map shows more area, it has to show much less detail.

If you have a question for an area such as a county, city or even a neighborhood, the area displayed on the map will be much smaller. This is considered a large-scale map because, though the area to be represented is smaller, the information portrayed is in much more detail. A much larger amount of detail is possible on a large-scale map because the area in question is much smaller than a global map.

Tell participants they should use their analysis plan to complete the data acquisition chart. Refer them to Teacher Guide, page 4-7. The chart asks participants to consider data categories and corresponding search terms that could be used to acquire data in these categories.

Facilitation Tip:

CAUTION & SUGGESTIONS: Avoid an open-ended exploration of data.

Explain with this in mind, sets of data are provided for participants that include appropriate scale.

Highlight the importance of developing a plan before beginning to search for data. Say: Taking into consideration the scale of the map you will create, you might not be able to get data on the whole city, but you should be able to find it for more local data using the search terms and methods described in the Teacher Guide.

Ask teachers to recall the wealth of data available in Esri's Living Atlas. They may wonder how to use these data in their projects.

Facilitation Tip:

Encourage teachers to utilize Google – “ArcGIS Online Help” or “How to add Living Atlas data”. Tools change frequently, and this will avoid reliance on specific instructions.



DEMONSTRATE how to enrich a data layer using Living Atlas.

See Task #3, Add a Data Layer, for guidance. Explain that instead of “Search for Layers” they will choose “Browse Living Atlas Layers” to add it to the map. Once a layer (e.g. USA States Generalized) is added to the map, it can be filtered to only include certain data, using the Filter tool. In the Contents tab, hover over the added layer, click on Filter, and use the expression tool to display features that match the expression. Particularly point out how “unique” works (e.g. STATE_NAME is unique only displays state names present in the associated data table and they can choose it rather than typing it). Once the layer(s) is added, transparency and symbology can be changed using the Change Styles menu.

Facilitation Tip:

Teachers might want to use these data in the buckets for their projects. Remind them to pay attention to the metadata (the details about the data and where they came from).

Explain that beyond data there are also additional analysis tools available to organization account users that teachers may find helpful.



DEMONSTRATE how to access Analysis Tools by clicking on “Analysis” and the blue “i” button for more information.

Explain for more on this topic, they can visit the link on page 4-7: <http://doc.arcgis.com/en/arcgis-online/analyze/perform-analysis.htm> however, they should not spend a lot of time using these tools in this exercise.

2. Small groups decide on a focus and organize data (50 minutes)

Direct small groups to work through page 4-7.

Explain they should spend the bulk of their time acquiring and analyzing data. In the next step they will work on crafting their argument and creating a presentation. Ask for any questions about the task. Write the stop time on the board and circulate, helping as needed.

Move the arrow on the Geospatial Inquiry poster as they work through Ask Questions, Acquire and Analyze Data.

3. Argue from Evidence, Prepare a Presentation (75 minutes)

Bring the group together. Move the arrow on the Geospatial Inquiry poster to Argue from Evidence.

Explain that small groups will now have a total of 75 minutes to craft and argument and prepare a presentation. Encourage groups to consider this time constraint when crafting their arguments; they might need to make a basic argument.

Explain to participants they should focus on crafting a well-written argument based on the data they have acquired and analyzed. Remind participants of the rubric of arguments and to consider the rubric categories (page 4-9) as they construct their argument.

When their arguments are solid, the groups should select a format to present their argument using geospatial evidence. Direct them to review the deliverables (page 4-8) and consider which deliverable is best for the information they are presenting and for their intended audience. Encourage groups to choose the simplest tool possible for the purpose (*e.g. a Story Map requires the creation of multiple web maps. Can the same result be gained by using the Presentation tool and one map with multiple layers?*).

Facilitation Tip:

CAUTION: This is more for reference than actual practice. Teachers could wind up spending too much time on trying to make an analysis tool work.

Remind participants of the rubric of arguments and to consider the rubric categories (page 4-9) as they construct their presentation.

Ask for questions about the task and write the stop time on the board.

4. Peer Presentation & Feedback (45 minutes)

Bring the group together. Move the arrow on the Geospatial Inquiry poster to Peer Feedback

Invite groups to present their arguments to the whole group. As they present ask listening (non-presenting groups) to focus on one particular category of the argument rubric (focus, controlling idea, research and development).

Ask participants to take notes on the elements of this category on sticky notes. At the end of each presentation, ask participants to provide their sticky note feedback to the presenting group.

5. Debrief (10 min)



Facilitate a whole group sharing of the overall On Your Own Geospatial Inquiry process. What went well? Where did they encounter difficulties? How/why did you choose a particular presentation tool?

Instruct participants to make note of any personal lingering questions, aha moments, what was important for their own learning, or areas that were confusing during the entire Geospatial Inquiry. Encourage them to consider these aspects when thinking about implementing Geospatial Inquiries in their classrooms.

Facilitation Tip:

Note the significant data prep that went into this session. Explain that these data are available for their Geospatial Inquiry lessons and that we will share some other existing data sources and 6-12 grade geospatial lessons they can modify rather than starting from scratch and re-creating the wheel.

4 IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING WITH GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

Participants read an interview highlighting the conundrum a pair of teachers face when assessing Geospatial Inquiry. They consider ways to provide feedback that is helpful to students and provides opportunities for revision.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Consider the importance of clear **expectations**, regular **feedback** and opportunities for **revision** in Geospatial Inquiry
- Consider the need for **scaffolds**, such as instructional rubrics, to support student success
- Consider how planning an **iterative** Geospatial Inquiry lesson over time with opportunities for regular **feedback** can guide instruction and ensure student success

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** and employs technological and communication **scaffolds** to promote conceptual understanding of big disciplinary ideas.
- Geospatial Inquiry provides opportunities to collaborate, compare ideas, and **receive feedback** on those ideas

Outline (70 minutes)

1. Consider the difference between assessment and grading	10 minutes
2. Providing valuable feedback	15 minutes
3. Jigsaw readings on assessment	30 minutes
4. Whole group sharing and application to Geospatial Inquiry Lessons	15 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Instructional Rubrics (Andrade, 2000)
<http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/feb00/vol57/num05/Using-Rubrics-to-Promote-Thinking-and-Learning.aspx>
- Attributes of Effective Formative Assessment (CCSO, 2008).
https://web.archive.org/web/20160417100159/https://ccsso.org/Documents/2008/Attributes_of_Effective_2008.pdf

As the facilitator you will need:

- Read Teacher Guide, Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry, Providing Valuable Feedback;
- Read Instructional Rubrics (Andrade, 2000)
- Read Attributes of Effective Formative Assessment (CCSO, 2008)

Facilitation Notes

1. Consider the difference between assessment and grading (10 minutes)

Remind teachers to use their Teacher Lens. Ask teachers to individually jot their answer to the question in their Teacher Guides page 4-12 – what is the difference between assessment and grading?

Explain they will now read an interview of teachers who struggled with assessment when implementing Geospatial Inquiry.

2. Providing Valuable Feedback (15 minutes)

Direct participants to read Providing Valuable Feedback in their Teacher Guides, page 4-13. Invite them to highlight a few lines and be prepared to discuss why they highlighted the lines with their small group. Tell them they will have 5 minutes to read and highlight and 10 minutes to share. Write the stop time on the board.

3. Divide readings on assessment (30 minutes)

Explain that it might be helpful to review some research on assessment to add to the group's thoughts thus far. They have links to two articles in their Teacher Guides to review (page 4-15).

Ask participants to pair up and decide who is A and who is B. Explain that all the A's will read about instructional rubrics (Andrade, 2000) and all the B's will read about effective attributes of formative assessment (CCSO, 2008). They should spend 10 minutes individually reading and identifying key ideas, then spend 20 minutes teaching each other what they learned. Write stop times on the board.

4. Whole group sharing and application to Geospatial Inquiry lessons (15 minutes)

Spend a few minutes sharing as a whole group. Record big ideas. Then provide participants some time to record any thoughts they would like to capture that might impact their Geospatial Inquiry lessons.

Notes on key ideas raised in the articles

Instructional Rubrics (Andrade, 2000):

- Instructional rubrics include two common features: a list of criteria and gradations of quality. Teachers often confuse checklists with rubrics. Checklists only detail criteria for an assignment.
- There are purposes and reasons to justify using instructional rubrics. Rubrics are: easy to use and explain, make expectations clear to students, provide students with formative feedback, support learning, skill development, understanding, and support good, critical thinking.
- Andrade (2000) suggests steps for creating an instructional rubric: 1. Examine previous student work models, 2. List criteria, 3. Pack and unpack criteria, 4. Articulate quality gradations, 5. Create a draft rubric, and 6. Revise the draft.
- Including students in designing rubrics, using thinking-centered criteria, and engaging students in self- and peer assessment can enhance student learning.

Attributes of Effective Formative Assessment (CCSO, 2008):

1. Formative assessment should be organized to support a learning progression of sub goals toward conceptual understanding of a large learning goal
2. Learning goals and criteria for success should be identified and communicated to students
3. Teachers should provide descriptive, evidence-based feedback linked to outcomes and criteria for success
4. Self and peer assessment promotion of metacognition
5. Collaboration – partners in learning

4 CAREER SPOTLIGHT

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	-------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

Participants work in small groups to review career video(s), then compare how the workflow described in the pieces they reviewed compared to the steps of Geospatial Inquiry. Finally, they consider if and how these videos might inspire their students to enter STEM careers.

GOALS

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Experience a diversity of careers that use geospatial technologies
- Discover how professionals in STEM fields engage in Geospatial Inquiry and for what purposes
- Consider how STEM Professionals' work is similar to the Geospatial Inquiry in the POD Teacher Workshop, and the Geospatial Inquiries in which students might engage
- Consider how to introduce geospatial careers to students and inspire them to enter these fields

POD PRINCIPLES EMPHASIZED

- Engaging in Geospatial Inquiry and seeing how Geospatial Inquiry is used by professionals provides inspiration to enter **STEM careers**.

Outline (30 minutes)

1. Watch Videos	15 minutes
2. Small group sharing	10 minutes
3. Whole group sharing	10 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- Watch the videos so you are somewhat familiar

Your participants will need

- Access to YouTube to view videos with closed captioning capabilities

Facilitation Notes

1. Watch the videos (25 minutes)

Remind teachers to use their Teacher Lens.

Refer to directions on page 4-19 in Teacher Guide. Teachers will choose a combination of videos review (or one if it is a longer video). These videos highlight how different career professionals use GIS technology for their work. The video selections are an opportunity to identify steps in the Geospatial Inquiry cycle in the workflow of GST professionals.

Ask participants to consider the questions in the Teacher Guide, page 4-22 as they view the videos:

- How do the steps of the Geospatial Inquiry process compare to the workflow of these individuals/organizations?
- Can you identify any of the Geospatial Analysis Framework in the work of these individuals/organizations?
- How could you use this piece with your students to inspire them to pursue a STEM career?

After viewing, invite teachers to share in small groups and be prepared to share. Explain they have 25 minutes total. Remind them to mute the sound and display the captions as they view. Ask what questions they might have about the task. Write the stop time on the board.

2. Whole group share out (10 minutes)



Ask for volunteers to share what they discussed in small groups.

Highlight similarities between GIS Professionals' real life work, the Geospatial Inquiry they have experienced, the Geospatial Inquiries in which their students might engage, and which of these (or others they discovered) might inspire students to enter geospatial careers.

This page left intentionally blank

4 PEDAGOGICAL MOVES TO SUPPORT GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY – SCAFFOLDING

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

Effective Geospatial Inquiry requires thoughtful scaffolding to ensure student access to knowledge, and equity of ideas and participation. Presentation of concepts, technology skills, communication (talk and writing), and participation structures must be scaffolded. Participants consider ways we have scaffolded skills and knowledge throughout the Geospatial Inquiry.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Consider the **scaffolds** provided throughout the Geospatial Inquiry which supported writing, academically productive talk, technology use, and participation in learning during the POD Teacher Workshop

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** and employs technological and communication **scaffolds** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Outline (45 minutes)



1. Individual review and notation of scaffolding	10 minutes
2. Discuss ideas at posters	15 minutes
3. Visit remaining posters	15 minutes
4. Whole group share out	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

Charts

Create the following four charts on four large sheets of chart paper and post:



Facilitation Notes

1. Individually review and note scaffolding in POD Teacher Guide (10 minutes)

Remind participants to use a teacher lens. Remind teachers to choose a role from the Role Cards.

Explain that effective Geospatial Inquiry requires thoughtful scaffolding to ensure student access to knowledge, and equity of ideas and participation. Throughout the workshop we have tried to model this.

Ask participants to count off around the room, 1-4 (e.g. 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3...). Invite participants to remember their numbers. Point out the posters around the room that correspond with each number.

1. Arguing from Evidence
2. Academically Productive Talk
3. Science or Geospatial Concepts
4. Geospatial Technology Skills

Explain that based on their number, each individual will spend 5 minutes reviewing the Teacher Guide and agenda to identify specific examples of how/when we have scaffolded, or supported, our presentation of science or geospatial concepts, geospatial technology skills, academically productive talk, or argument from evidence. They will jot these ideas on post it notes. Explain that after they have individually recorded ideas, they will join others with the same number at the appropriate poster. Ask for questions about the task. Write the stop time for individual work on the board.

2. Discuss ideas at posters. (15 minutes)

Call the attention of the group. Invite participants to join others with the same number at the appropriate poster. They will have about 15 minutes left to share their lists and record the ideas on the chart. Ask for questions about the task. Write the stop time for group work on the board.

3. Visit other posters. (15 minutes)

Call the attention of the group. Ask each group to move to the next poster in the room, clockwise. Explain they will have 3 minutes to read the list, discuss what others have posted and add at least one additional idea. Explain you will signal when to move to the next poster. They will end at their original poster so they can see what others added. Ask for questions about the task. Signal participants to shift. After 3 minutes, signal participants to shift. Repeat until groups are back at their starting poster.

4. Whole group share out (10 minutes)



Invite the group to share patterns they noticed or ideas that they found interesting. Were there any areas we could improve the scaffolds we provided? Stress the importance of **scaffolds** to support learning. Invite them to record scaffolding ideas for their own Geospatial Inquiry lessons in their Teacher Guides, page 4-24.

Scaffolds we intentionally provided/modeled throughout the workshop (not exhaustive):

- Consistent structure of the Geospatial Inquiry process: predict, check, revise
- Claims, evidence, and reasoning (CER) prompts
- Rubrics for presentation and feedback (modified from Literacy Design Collaborative -LDC)
- Role cards
- Technology use – easiest to more technical, Task Cards for reference
- Making thinking visible on posters
- Summary tables
- Geospatial Technology and Science Review readings
- Varied participation structures (individual, team, small group, whole group)
- Talk Moves

This page intentionally left blank

4 DESIGNING A GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

In light of this session's learning about assessment and scaffolds, participants return to their Geospatial Inquiry lessons and continue to revise.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to continue planning for Geospatial Inquiry implementation to supplement an existing unit:

- Consider feasibility of teaching this unit within 6 months of the POD Teacher Workshop with data that is available
- Add technological and communication **scaffolds** to the Geospatial Inquiry lesson
- Revisit formative and summative assessments to ensure students receive **feedback** on ideas as they build **conceptual understanding**

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** and employs technological and communication **scaffolds** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Outline (30 minutes)

1. Reminders of learning from the session	5 minutes
2. Individual work time to revise Geospatial Inquiry lessons	25 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator, you will need:

- To have reviewed and provided some digital feedback on lessons submitted thus far. THIS IS HOW YOU KNOW IF THEY ARE UNDERSTANDING THE PRINCIPLES OF GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Your participants will need:

- Designing a Geospatial Inquiry – Geospatial Inquiry Template (digital copy saved in Session 2)

Facilitation Notes

1. Reminder of assessment, scaffolds, directions (5 minutes)

Explain that in light of this session’s discussions on formative assessments, instructional rubrics, and scaffolds to support students, participants are encouraged to return to their Geospatial Inquiry templates and modify as needed. Encourage them to begin crafting a rubric that will enable them to determine the quality of evidence of learning that results from the lesson.

Remind participants they will be expected to **teach this lesson within 6 months** of attending the POD Teacher Workshop.

Ask them to reflect upon the challenges of finding appropriate data for their Geospatial Inquiry today. Encourage them to think about the feasibility of finding appropriate data to teach the lesson within 6 months.

Explain they will have 150 minutes to search for existing data to support their lessons during Session 5, but they may need to modify their lessons if they experience challenges with data. Flexibility is key.

Invite participants to view the completed Geospatial Inquiry template for the POD Geospatial Inquiry on Hazard and Risk in their Teacher Guides, page 4-26 as an example. Explain they have 25 minutes to work. Ask for questions they might have about the task. Write the stop time on the board.

2. Individual work on Geospatial Inquiry lessons (25 minutes)

Circulate and help as needed.

Facilitation Tip:

Extension Idea: Provide teachers time to create rubrics for their Geospatial Inquiry lessons.

This page left intentionally blank

4 METACOGNITION, EVALUATION, AND HOMEWORK

Welcome	Geospatial Inquiry	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	Career Spotlight	Pedagogical Moves	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Metacognition & Evaluation
---------	--------------------	---	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Summary

Participants review learning from the session, consider their own learning experiences, and how these experiences might influence their classroom practices. Finally, they provide some informal feedback to the facilitators and learn of the homework assignment.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to engage in **reflective practice**:

- Review Science and Geospatial Technology learning from the session
- Contemplate how Geospatial Inquiry enhanced individual learning
- Consider ways to use Geospatial Inquiry in a classroom to enhance student learning

The purpose of informal evaluation is for you to gather feedback on the session to make adjustments as necessary for the next session.

POD Principles Emphasized

Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition to support conceptual understanding.

Outline (10 minutes)

1. Individual work time	5 minutes
2. Homework, informal evaluation, preparation for next session	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- A plan for collecting feedback from participants. See Facilitator Community > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > [Informal Evaluation/Feedback Ideas](https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2).
<https://app.box.com/s/xwrjouu2oy6hnoc1foakmi1ftcaa75q2>

Your participants will need:

- Access to internet to explore careers

Facilitation Notes

Ask participants to think about what they learned and process that learning for themselves.

Invite participants to read the following and respond to the prompts in their Teacher Guides

- Science Session Review – Session 4
- Geospatial Technology Session Review – Session 4

Ask participants to complete your informal evaluation task.

Homework

Explain careers that utilize geospatial technologies are diverse. Ask them to explore the sites in their Teacher Guides for homework and think about ways they can introduce these career options to their students.

- American Association of Geographers - <http://www.aag.org/careers>
In their “Profiles of Geographers” you can read about individuals in different types of careers in education, business, government and non-profits.
- Geography Jobs: <http://www.geographyjobs.com/>

You can explore some of the current job opportunities and job descriptions for careers in geospatial and geography jobs

Facilitator Homework

Review Geospatial Inquiry Lesson Templates submitted and provide feedback.

Common pitfalls to look for in addition to those listed previously:

- Activities included that are not directly related to helping a student address the guiding questions.

This page left intentionally blank

SESSION 5 FACILITATION NOTES FOR POD TEACHER

WORKSHOP

Session 5 at a Glance – 7 hours

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
15 minutes	95 minutes	30 minutes	150 minutes	30 minutes	60 minutes	40 minutes

Visit <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> to access this Facilitation Guide online. The password is begeospatial

This page left intentionally blank

5 WELCOME – SESSION 5

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Summary

The Welcome is intended to welcome participants to learn, respond to the prior session's informal evaluation, answer questions about the Science and Geospatial Technology Session Reviews, explain the agenda for Session 5 and set a focus for the group's learning.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Hear group feedback from the prior session's informal evaluation and revisit working agreements as needed
- Ask questions about homework or prior session and draw upon these ideas to **construct meaning together** through **academically productive discourse** (talk)
- Preview the agenda for Session 4 and set a focus for this session's learning: Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry involves **reflective practice**.
- Geospatial Inquiry is **socially constructed**.

Outline (15 minutes)

1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 4	5 minutes
2. Talk in small groups about the Career Spotlight homework	5 minutes
3. Preview agenda for Session 5 and set focus	5 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

As facilitator, you will need:

- Reflections from informal evaluation – organized by highlights and areas of confusion so you can share with the participants
- Session 5 Agenda and goals

Charts

- Agenda for the Session
- Parking Lot for questions
- Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas

Facilitation Tip:

Invite participants to sit in a new seat and exchange Role Cards to experience a new Role for this Session. This encourages participants to hear and consider new perspectives.

Facilitation Notes

1. Summarize comments and concerns from Session 4.

Review feedback received from Session 4. Address concerns.

2. Review Career Spotlight homework.

Ask participants to talk in small groups about their career exploration homework. Invite groups to share.

3. Preview agenda and set focus.

Give a brief preview of the activities. Explain that the focus for the session is the following principle upon which Geospatial Inquiry was conceived. Display the chart:

Geospatial Inquiry is **iterative and sequenced over time** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas

5 IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING WITH GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Summary

Participants examine student work samples and reflect on important questions about teaching, learning, and assessment during a Geospatial Inquiry.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Collaboratively examine student work to consider implications for teaching, learning, formative and summative assessment

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial Inquiry is iterative and sequenced over time to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.
- Geospatial Inquiry is a **reflective practice**. It starts from prior knowledge and experience and requires metacognition in order to develop conceptual understanding.

Outline (95 minutes)

1. Introducing the protocol	15 minutes
2. Begin examining student work	70 minutes
3. Share Implications, Reflect on the process	10 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Student work samples and teacher assignments –access in [POD Organization](#)

Pathogen Assignments and Student Work

- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=df2618c1db104b9cb309a7f192751969>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=4ed67f53ff2c4e07892c2od1055821ec>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=0bb3740864ee4726bf66648ec054718b>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=063a2c66edcb413787eb90baf70f1afe>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=69f56e1447a741699a1dc8f87a52909>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=34c8aa6726654c9db36b444aef83583f>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=87d8e04de1e94d239146be9dbc5da0f1>

Power Plant Siting Assignment and Student Work

- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=b09b24b3ef6f4dda882186945bd5b04d>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=d76255461e0a42b282c9bf5635a1a975>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=9dd7c65ed6554c609f04b8feb9ea5566>
- <http://pod.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=761cd1057c294a4492e6dea00b119b49>

As the facilitator you will need:

- Prior to the workshop – review student work samples and Protocol process so you are somewhat familiar.

Facilitation Notes

1. Introducing the Protocol – Looking at Student Work. (15 minutes)

Remind teachers to be using their Teacher Lens. Remind teachers of the difficulties surrounding assessment and the role of formative assessment from Session 4.

By looking at examples of student work, some of the challenges in assessing products of Geospatial Inquiry become evident.

Seeing student products can help us to reflect on the initial assignment given to students, the expectations and criteria for quality products, effective use of Geospatial Inquiry, and ways to assess student conceptual understanding.

Explain they will be using a protocol to examine some student work samples. First, you will share more information about the process, then you will demonstrate how to access the student work samples in the POD ORG. They will then review student work and consider how they might revise their Geospatial Inquiry lessons in light of the experience.

Explain there are two high school level assignments to choose from - a pathogen project with five student work samples, and a power plant siting project with three student work samples.

In the pathogen assignment, students investigated weather and climate conditions in different cities to study the spread of an unknown pathogen. In the power plant siting assignment, students were tasked with choosing the best site for a fossil fuel power plant.

Tell them that first you will share more information about the process, then you will demonstrate how to access the student work samples in the POD ORG. Then they will look at student work in small groups, and finally they will consider how they might revise their Geospatial Inquiry lessons in light of the experience.



DEMONSTRATE how to access [Student Work Samples in the POD Org](#) and invite each small group to choose either the pathogen or power plant examples to examine.

Provide 5 minutes for participants to read the description and the protocol steps in the Teacher Guide, page 5-4.

Remind teachers that regardless of the student work they choose to review, based on the protocol, they should all view all student work samples FIRST. They will view the Assignment(s) LAST, in Step 4. This may be a bit uncomfortable for teachers. Explain:

The structure is based on a Collaborative Assessment Conference (Seidel, 1998). They explain the ideas upon which the conference steps evolved:

- Students engage in problems based on their interests. Sometimes they interpret the problems the way the teacher has, but sometimes their interpretation differs.
- If we view the assignment prior to the work samples, it might influence what we notice in the student work.
- It is good for teachers to hear interpretations from those who are unfamiliar with their students and their learning goals. These outside perspectives help teachers reflect on teaching and learning.

Explain that you will serve as the facilitator for the process, so you will set a timer for each part of the protocol. Ask what questions they may have about the task.

2. Begin using the protocol to examine student work. (70 minutes)

We have included the protocol for your reference.

Step 1. Explain that you will provide 10 minutes for individual silent review of the work. Encourage them to take notes as they review. Write the stop time on the board.

Facilitation Tip:

It is ok if all groups choose the same example. The point is for them to examine real student work to generate discussions around teaching and learning. Feel free to bring in other examples if you have them.

Facilitation Tip:

Alternatively, ask one member of each small group to serve as the facilitator to keep the group on track and write the total time on the board.

Call attention of the group and ask them to proceed with Step 2, describing the work. Remind participants to suspend judgment and if a judgment emerges, the group should ask for evidence from the work to support the judgment. Explain they will have 20 minutes to describe the work. Ask “what do you see?” and write the stop time on the board.

Call attention of the group and ask them to proceed with Step 3, speculating about what the student is working on. Invite them to make suggestions about the problems or issues that the student might have been focused on in carrying out the assignment. Write the stop time on the board.

Call attention of the group and ask them to proceed with Step 4, individually reviewing the assignment and criteria for success that are posted. Invite them to discuss in their small group. Write the stop time on the board.

Call attention of the group and ask them to proceed with Step 5, discussing implications for teaching and learning.

3. Share implications, reflect on the Collaborative Assessment Conference experience and consider how it may influence your Geospatial Inquiry lesson (10 minutes)



Reconvene as a whole group. Invite groups to share what they discussed regarding implications for teaching and learning with Geospatial Inquiry. Chart the big ideas. Encourage participants to consider how this experience will influence their Geospatial Inquiry lessons, if at all. If the ideas on sample chart have not been addressed, bring them up.

Sample Chart:

Implications for Teaching and Learning with Geospatial Inquiry

- *Geospatial Inquiry should be conducted for a **purpose**: to solve a problem, explain a phenomenon or answer a question – students should know their goal*
- *Students need **clarity** – provide **expectations** and examples so students can produce quality evidence of learning*

Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry, Session 5 12

- **Feedback** should be provided early and often to check student understanding and help them revise their ideas (from peers and teacher)
- Maps and visual representations are not enough. The visuals should support a **written argument or explanation**.
- Geospatial technologies should only be used if they **add value** to the lesson
- Looking at student work **helps teachers** craft clear assignments and rubrics for assessment

5 POD RESEARCH OVERVIEW

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	--	--------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Summary

Teacher participation is essential to meeting the research goals of the POD project. Meeting these goals will help the POD team measure student interest, awareness, and attitude toward STEM careers as it exists before and after participating in Geospatial Inquiry lessons implemented by the teachers from your Teacher Workshops.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Recognize the importance of researching the factors that help students develop interest in and/or awareness of STEM and geospatial technology careers.
- Clarify their role in the research portion of the POD project
- Clarify the research timeline and responsibilities for implementation and data collection

Outline (30 minutes)

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Walk through the Teacher Research Kit | 30 minutes |
|--|------------|

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- POD Research Kit for Teachers, <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/> password is begeo spatial2 >Teacher Research Toolkit Resources > Files <http://www.pod-stem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/Teacher-Research-Kit.pdf>

As the **facilitator** you will need:

- Access to YouTube
- Projector
- Before you begin this session, please familiarize yourself with the **POD Research Kit for Teachers**. Part of your responsibility as facilitator is to be certain teachers are aware of the requirements and final deadlines for what is required of teachers before stipends will be distributed.
- POD Story Map <http://arcg.is/2hG3jKL>
- Review the videos from the POD Research Team, <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitator Research Kit > Videos

Facilitation Tip:

Consider how your teacher workshop participants will be viewing the document.


- Will they have a paper copy of the **POD Research Kit for Teachers**?
- Will they be looking at this document in a digital format?


Facilitation Notes

1. Teacher Research Kit (30 minutes)

Explain that NSF funded the POD project so we could conduct research on the transferability of the POD PD model to new contexts. During the next 30 minutes, you will go over the expectations for implementation and data collection, collect required paperwork.

You may choose to explain the research by displaying the following visual representations.





Proposed model for investigating the links between professional development and student interest and attitudes in GST careers.

Additionally, you may choose to show the [POD story map](#) to reinforce definition of Research Role of Teachers.

Direct participants attention to the **POD Research Kit for Teachers** (www.pod-stem.org> Current POD Teachers >Teacher Research Toolkit Resources > Files; <http://www.pod-stem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/Teacher-Research-Kit.pdf>). Go over each step, and encourage participants to highlight or circle the column headers as you review the schedule table.



DEMONSTRATE where participants can find the Research Kit at <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/> >Teacher Research Toolkit Resources > Files, and the parent email forms in both English and Spanish, copies of handouts, and links to online surveys.

Note the following:

- Direct special attention to the *Time Point*, *Final Deadline*, and *Who Submits* columns.
- Go over payment details and dates.
 - Be certain to clarify that stipends will be processed and distributed upon receipt of data collected within the timeframe listed in the final deadline column of the schedule for teacher data collection.

- It is a good idea to use language like, “Your second stipend will not be distributed until...”
- If teachers have questions or need support, they may contact you or the POD team directly.
- Explain “the POD project received exempt status from our university’s Institutional Review Board (a committee formally designated to approve, monitor, and review research involving humans). Unless required by the school or district, attaining permission for participation from students and parents is NOT required. However, some schools and districts have their own policies. If teachers are working in districts or schools that require their own Institutional Review Board approval for student participation, they should let you know ASAP so that you can provide the POD Team with contact information for that site.”
 - Keep a list of schools/districts that will need follow-up in this area and let us know so that we can assist in the process (use template below).

List of teachers working in districts that require IRB for student participation in data collection.

Facilitator Name:		
Teacher Name	District Name	District Contact Phone Number

- Parents must be sent an email from the teacher notifying them of the study and giving them the option to opt-out their student. A copy of the text for these emails is provided in both English and Spanish in <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/> > Teacher Research Toolkit > Files.

- Only one source of data, a retrospective survey, will be collected from the students. A link to the survey is provided in <http://www.pod-stem.org/teachers-lounge2/> > Teacher Research Toolkit > Files. As part of the survey students will also be given the option to opt-out of the study. Students will enter their teacher name, their own initials, and their birth date as an identifier. Following completion of the survey, students will be assigned a code and the surveys will be blinded.
- A small portion (10%) of teachers will be video-taped. These videos are to focus on the teacher during the lesson and will be blinded and stored securely once received.

Finally, take some time to answer questions from your POD Teacher Workshop participants.

5 DESIGNING A GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Summary

Participants finalize their Geospatial Inquiry Templates in light of the four sessions of learning. This session is intended to introduce resources and provide teachers time to plan how they will integrate Geospatial Inquiry into an existing class.

Goals

Provide opportunities for teachers to:

- Become familiarized with resources on www.pod-stem.org
- Compile a list of useful search terms from ArcGIS Online, Living Atlas, etc. for the Geospatial Inquiry lesson
- Curate geospatial data layers and maps students can analyze in the Geospatial Inquiry lesson in a personal folder on [POD Organization Account](#)

POD Principles Emphasized

- Geospatial technologies are **tools** which enhance the ability to make sense of **relationships and patterns** in geospatial data and to create **visual** evidence to support written arguments.
- Geospatial Inquiry employs **technological scaffolds** to promote **conceptual understanding** of big disciplinary ideas.

Outline (150 minutes)

1. Reviewing Lesson Resources	15 minutes
2. Other Resources	30 minutes
3. Individual Work Time	105 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Designing a Geospatial Inquiry – Geospatial Inquiry Template (digital copy saved in Session 2)
- Teacher Resources on POD-STEM.org - <http://www.pod-stem.org/more/>

As the facilitator you will need:

- To have reviewed the lessons submitted thus far, and have provided some digital feedback. THIS IS HOW YOU KNOW IF THEY ARE UNDERSTANDING THE PRINCIPLES OF GEOSPATIAL INQUIRY
- A strong grasp of the Teacher Resources located on POD-STEM.org - <http://www.pod-stem.org/more/> including GeoInquiries lessons
- Review “GeoInquiries customization – Tools for editing” <https://docs.google.com/document/d/12u4hKDT1HQpQYsoGpxifinM4JLPt>

[O8QllohL8CbrE6vg/edit](#) be prepared to demonstrate how to modify Geolnquiries, particularly, how to use the maps and data in new ways.

Facilitation Notes

1. Reviewing Lesson Resources (15 minutes)

Participants have spent the workshop planning a Geospatial Inquiry and may be worried about data. Explain that you will share with them resources that already exist that they can borrow to use in their lessons, and identify datasets using the tools they have learned for any lessons that do not already exist.

Explain we started our entire Geospatial Inquiry on Hazards and Risk with Cracked Plates from Geolnquiries. It was originally a 15 minute lesson. We expanded from there. They can do the same.

Tell teachers you will provide an overview of the resources that will support them as they plan and implement Geospatial Inquiry lessons, then they will have the remainder of time to plan. Links to these resources are on page 5-10 of the [Teacher Guide](#).



DEMONSTRATE of the **Examples of lessons to modify** section of <http://www.pod-stem.org/more/> and briefly summarize what they contain.

- Geolnquiries
 - AP Environmental Science
<http://www.esri.com/connected#Advanced%20Environmental%20Science>
 - AP Human Geography
 - Earth Science
 - Grade 4 Interdisciplinary
 - US History
- Thinking Spatially using GIS – geared toward elementary grades
<http://www.esri.com/connected#Thinking%20Spatially%20Using%20GIS>
- Mapping Our World – geared toward middle school

<http://www.esri.com/connected#Mapping%20Our%20World>

Explain that although some of these are geared toward elementary students, the datasets are fantastic resources and can be used and modified to meet a teacher's needs.



DEMONSTRATE by opening this GeoInquiry map:

<http://education.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=c813649a1dae4ecbb7e6a51af015b0c9>. Demonstrate how to view the author of the data.

- Click on “About” tab
- In our example, this map was authored by “Esri_GeoInquiry_EnvScience”
- Click on the author name to display the author’s Profile.
- Click on “Items” to view all Items by this author.

The screenshot shows the ArcGIS web map interface. At the top, there is a breadcrumb trail: Home ▾ Population dynamics - Environmental Science GeoInquiries. Below this, there are tabs for 'Details' and 'Basemap'. The main content area on the left includes an 'About' section with the title 'Population dynamics - Environmental Science GeoInquiries™'. The description reads: 'Exploring relationships between the age profile of a country and it's population trends. Population dynamics - Environmental Science GeoInquiries™ at http://www.esri.com/geoinquiries'. Below the description, it says 'Web Map by Esri GeoInquiry EnvScience'. There is also a 'Last Modified' section with a star rating and a 'More Details...' link. On the right, a map of North America is visible with zoom controls (+, home, -). A user profile popup is overlaid on the map, titled 'GeoInquiries - Esri Education Team'. The popup contains a logo for 'ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE' and text: 'This account stores some data and the maps for the Esri Education ConnectED GeoInquiry collection for Biology and Advanced Environmental Science . Learn more at http://edcommunity.esri.com/geoinquiries'. At the bottom of the popup, there are three tabs: 'Profile', 'Items' (which is circled in red), and 'Groups'.

Direct participants to page 5-10 in Teacher Guide for these links which were obtained using the process described above.

Here is a direct link to all items from Esri_GeoInquiry_EnvScience

http://education.maps.arcgis.com/home/search.html?q=owner:Esri_GeoInquiry_EnvScience

Here is a direct link to all items from Mapping Our World

<http://www.arcgis.com/home/search.html?q=owner:MappingOurWorld>

Here is a direct link to all items from Esri_GeoInquiry_History

http://education.maps.arcgis.com/home/search.html?q=owner:Esri_GeoInquiry_History

Here is a direct link to all items from Esri_GeoInquiry_APHG

http://education.maps.arcgis.com/home/search.html?q=owner%3AEsri_GeoInquiry_APHG&focus=all&restrict=true

Here is a direct link to all items from Esri_GeoInquiry_Grade4

http://education.maps.arcgis.com/home/search.html?q=owner%3AEsri_GeoInquiry_Grade4&focus=layers

2. Other Resources (30 minutes)

Preview the following resources not directly related to planning lessons on

<http://www.pod-stem.org/more/>

and Esri encourages this. They have provided a document to aid in this process:



DEMONSTRATE how to access the file and customize the GeoInquiries following the directions provided, essentially, starting with a specific map or lesson, adding or removing layers to it, and saving.

<http://www.esri.com/geoinquiries> > at the bottom find: The document titled “GeoInquiries customization – Tools for editing”

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/12u4hKDT1HQpQYsoGpxifinM4JLPtO8QIohL8CbrE6vg/edit>

NOTE: THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE

1. New to GIS In Education?

- [Sign up](#) for a powerful FREE ArcGIS Online Organization Account here!

Explain that this link takes participants to Esri's ConnectEd site where they can obtain a free Organization Account. Remind participants they have been using the POD Organization account and will continue to use it for this project. Why might they want their own Organization accounts eventually? They will explore the benefits now.

Invite participants to read *Overview on ArcGIS Online Public and Organization Accounts*, in their [Teacher Guide](#) page 5-11. Spend a few moments discussing highlights below. Demonstrate if you have time and feel this is important for your group.

- Unique **SECURE LOGINS**
- Ability to create **CUSTOM APPLICATIONS** and Story Maps
- Create and manage **GROUPS** within the organization
- **HIGHLIGHT DIFFERENT SHARING PERMISSIONS (PUBLISHER, USER, ETC.)**. Management capabilities allow an administrator to control the power of individual users and also limit the amount of data usage that users are allotted.
- **SPATIAL ANALYSIS FUNCTIONS** such as proximity, distance, find locations and density. There is also the ability to perform data enrichment which utilizes current demographic data to enrich data about certain locations.
- Access to "**PREMIUM CONTENT**", which includes various Esri hosted searchable map layers and Esri Living Atlas layers.
- **CUSTOM PORTAL** allows you to introduce your organization (your school, a specific project, or your school district) in a graphic way. The **HOME PAGE** serves as a starting point for your members.



DEMONSTRATE where to find information and request an ORG for their school: <http://www.esri.com/connected>

2. New to GIS in Education?

- Everything K12 GIS <http://k12.maps.arcgis.com/home/index.html>: Particularly, point out the Instruction Docs
- **[Getting Started with Online GIS for Educators](https://esri.app.box.com/v/gettingstartedforeducators)**: A sequenced set of resources and activities from the Esri Education Team for those interested in teaching with GIS (<https://esri.app.box.com/v/gettingstartedforeducators>).

Point out the following resources related to Organization Accounts:

- #12, AGO Use Strategies
- #13, AGO Orgs for Schools
- #14, Request an ArcGIS Online Organization Account for the School

3. Esri Resources for ArcGIS Online and Esri ArcGIS Tutorials

Explain these are resources teachers can use to brush up on ArcGIS Online technology skills. They will change as new resources become available.

- [ArcGIS Book](#), is available in several formats. Anyone may download the interactive PDF (no charge).
- [ArcGIS Online Organizations for Schools](#): A presentation describing ways K12 schools can set up free organizational accounts.
- [GIS Dictionary](#): Definitions for GIS terms related to operations such as analysis, GIS modeling and web-based GIS, cartography, and Esri software.
- [How to Teach with the ArcGIS Platform](#): Webinar demonstrating how to teach using the ArcGIS platform.
- [Learn ArcGIS](#): guided lessons on real world issues.
- [Learn the basics of GIS \(For Secondary Students\)](#): Work with ArcGIS Online to interact with GIS maps, explore real world problems, and tell a story. Find out how workers use GIS and what it takes to become a GIS professional.

4. Other Resources

Explain there are many other resources including mobile apps, videos, career resources, GeoMentor programs, and general teaching resources on this site. We will continue to add them as we find them. Invite teachers to explore during their work time.

3. Individual work time (105 minutes)

Invite participants to explore resources and curate data and maps for their Geospatial Inquiry Templates. Help as needed. They should leave with data and maps in their folders in the POD Org.

5 CELEBRATION

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Outline (30 minutes)

1. Present Certificates	30 minutes
-------------------------	------------

Preparation and Logistics

As the facilitator you will need:

- Certificate paper
- Print certificates – one per participant. Available at <http://www.pod-stem.org/facilitators-lounge2/> > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters
 - o If you send us your list of participants at least two weeks prior to your POD Teacher Workshop we will send you a PDF of certificates you can print on certificate paper.
- Post it Notes – place names on backs of certificates (see notes for details)

Facilitation Notes

Our friends at WestEd have a fun way to celebrate. You might like to use it.

On the back of each certificate, place a post it note with the name of another participant, not the person named on the certificate.



Each participant named on the post it presents the certificate to the participant named on the certificate by saying something about how they enjoyed learning with this person throughout the POD Teacher Workshop or sharing a fun anecdote from the Workshop.

Facilitation Tip:

Flip the first certificate in the pile, place the name of the next person's certificate on the back, flip, and repeat. Put the name of the first certificate on the last certificate.

Facilitation Tip:

Don't let anyone leave! They will not be paid a stipend if we do not get their GST Post Assessment and Final POD Workshop Evaluation.

It's a nice way to honor the professional relationships and the learning community established throughout the Workshop.

Model this process for participants with your colleague or an imaginary participant, then ask for a volunteer to start.

5 POST GST ASSESSMENT

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

Outline (60 minutes)

1. Complete Post Assessments	60 minutes
------------------------------	-------------------

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Copies
 - o 1 per person GST Post Assessment (www.pod-stem.org > Facilitation Resources > Resources and Handout Duplication Masters > Teacher Post GST Performance Assessment)

Facilitation Notes

Explain to participants that they likely have increased their geospatial technology skills throughout the POD Teacher Workshop. We would like to capture that learning by re-administering the Geospatial Technology Performance Assessment they took during Session 1.

Explain that participants have 1 hour to complete the Post Assessment. They may use any resources they wish to complete the assessment including the internet, their teacher guides, and resources on pod-stem.org, but they must work alone. Whatever is finished at the end of the hour will be collected.

Provide one hour to complete the Post Assessment. Write the stop time on the board.

This page intentionally left blank

5 FINAL EVALUATION – POST-WORKSHOP SURVEY

Welcome	Implications for Teaching with Geospatial Inquiry	POD Resources and Research Overview	Designing a Geospatial Inquiry	Celebration	GST Post Assessment	Final Workshop Evaluation
---------	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------------------

Outline (40 minutes)

1. Thank you and next steps	10 minutes
2. Administer Post Workshop Survey	30 minutes

Preparation and Logistics

Your participants will need:

- Post Workshop Survey:
<https://www5.nau.edu/selectsurvey/TakeSurvey.aspx?SurveyID=TWpost>

Facilitation Notes

1. Thank participants and next steps (10 minutes)

Ensure you have collected any forms you still need from your participants such as memoranda of understanding and stipend forms.

Thank participants for all their work. Remind them of your procedures for communicating with and supporting them as they implement their lessons.

2. Administer Post Workshop Survey (30 minutes)

Please administer the final POD Teacher Workshop evaluation. Provide 30 minutes for participants to complete the evaluation before they leave.